

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003

Commission file number 1-2918

ASHLAND INC.
(a Kentucky corporation)

I.R.S. No. 61-0122250

50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard

P.O. Box 391

Covington, Kentucky 41012-0391

Telephone Number: (859) 815-3333

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b):

Title of each class -----	Name of each exchange on which registered -----
Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share	New York Stock Exchange and Chicago Stock Exchange
Rights to Purchase Series A Participating Cumulative Preferred Stock	New York Stock Exchange and Chicago Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(g): NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [x] No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this annual report on Form 10-K or any amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K. [x]

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is an accelerated filer. Yes [x] No

At October 31, 2003, based on the New York Stock Exchange closing price, the aggregate market value of voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was approximately \$2,549,347,022. In determining this amount, the Registrant has assumed that its directors and executive officers are affiliates. Such assumption shall not be deemed conclusive for any other purpose.

At October 31, 2003, there were 68,603,477 shares of Registrant's common stock outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of Registrant's definitive Proxy Statement for its January 29, 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders are incorporated by reference into Part III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	----
Item 1. Business.....	1
APAC	1
Ashland Distribution.....	2
Ashland Specialty Chemical.....	2
Valvoline.....	3
Refining and Marketing.....	4
Miscellaneous.....	7
Item 2. Properties.....	10
Item 3. Legal Proceedings.....	10
Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.....	12
Item X. Executive Officers of Ashland.....	12

PART II

Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Security Holder Matters.....	12
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data.....	13
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	13
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk..	13
Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.....	13
Item 9.	Changes In and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.....	13
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures.....	13

PART III

Item 10.	Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant.....	13
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.....	13
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters.....	14
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.....	14
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.....	14

PART IV

Item 15.	Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K.....	14
----------	--	----

PART I

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

Ashland Inc. is a Kentucky corporation, organized on October 22, 1936, with its principal executive offices located at 50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard, Covington, Kentucky 41011 (Mailing Address: 50 E. RiverCenter Boulevard, P.O. Box 391, Covington, Kentucky 41012-0391) (Telephone: (859) 815-3333). The terms "Ashland" and the "Company" as used herein include Ashland Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries, except where the context indicates otherwise.

Ashland's businesses are grouped into five industry segments: APAC, Ashland Distribution, Ashland Specialty Chemical, Valvoline and Refining and Marketing. Financial information about these segments for the three fiscal years ended September 30, 2003 is set forth on pages F-24 and F-25 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

APAC performs asphalt and concrete contract construction work, including highway paving and repair, excavation and grading, and bridge construction, and produces asphaltic and ready-mix concrete, crushed stone and other aggregate in the southern and midwestern United States.

Ashland Distribution distributes industrial chemicals and solvents, plastics, composite materials and fine ingredients in North America and plastics in Europe. Ashland Distribution also provides environmental services. Ashland Specialty Chemical is focused on two primary chemistries: thermoset and water. It manufactures and supplies specialty chemical products and services to industries including the automotive, building and construction, foundry, marine, paint, paper, ink, flexible packaging and water treatment industries.

Valvoline is a producer and marketer of premium packaged motor oil and automotive chemicals, including appearance products, antifreeze, filters, rust preventives and coolants. In addition, Valvoline is engaged in the "fast oil change" business through outlets operating under the Valvoline Instant Oil Change(R) name.

Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC ("MAP"), a joint venture with Marathon Oil Company, operates seven refineries with a total crude oil refining capacity of 935,000 barrels per day. Refined products are distributed through a network of independent and company-owned outlets in the Midwest, the upper Great Plains and the southeastern United States. Marathon Oil Company holds a 62% interest in MAP, and Ashland holds a 38% interest in MAP. Ashland accounts for its investment in MAP using the equity method.

At September 30, 2003, Ashland and its consolidated subsidiaries had approximately 22,500 employees (excluding contract employees).

AVAILABLE INFORMATION. Ashland's Internet address is www.ashland.com. There, Ashland makes available, free of charge, its annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to those reports, as well as any beneficial ownership reports of officers and directors filed electronically on Forms 3, 4 and 5. All such reports will be available as soon as reasonably practicable after Ashland electronically files such material with, or furnishes such material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Information contained on Ashland's website is not part of this annual report on Form 10-K and is not incorporated by reference in this document.

APAC

The APAC group of companies is the nation's largest asphalt and concrete paving company and is a major supplier of construction materials. APAC performs construction work, such as paving, repairing and resurfacing highways, streets, airports, residential and commercial developments, sidewalks and driveways, and grading and base work. In addition, it performs a number of construction services such as excavation and related activities in the construction of bridges and structures, drainage facilities and underground utilities. APAC conducts its business through 24 market focused business units operating in 14 southern and midwestern states. These business units provide construction services and materials throughout the regions in which they operate. These market focused business units are supported by management and administrative staff in Atlanta, Georgia.

To deliver its services and products, APAC utilizes extensive aggregate-producing properties and construction equipment. It currently has 96 aggregate production facilities, including 35 permanent operating quarry locations; 65 ready-mix concrete plants; 239 hot-mix asphalt plants; and a fleet of over 15,000 mobile equipment units, including heavy construction equipment and transportation-related equipment. In certain market areas, APAC is vertically integrated with asphalt, aggregate and ready-mix operations, all complementing each other.

Raw aggregate generally consists of sand, gravel, granite, limestone and sandstone. About 29% of the raw aggregate produced by APAC is used in APAC's own contract construction work and the production of various processed construction materials. The remainder is sold to third parties. APAC also purchases substantial quantities of raw aggregate from other producers whose proximity to the job site renders it economically attractive. Most other raw materials, such as liquid asphalt, portland cement and reinforcing steel, are purchased from third parties.

Approximately 77% of APAC's sales and operating revenues are construction revenues, with the remaining 23% coming from sales of construction materials. Approximately 84% of APAC's construction revenues are derived directly from highway and other public sector sources, with the remaining 16% coming from industrial and commercial customers and private developers.

Climate and weather significantly affect revenues and margins in the construction business. Due to its location, APAC tends to enjoy a relatively long construction season. Most of APAC's operating income is generated during the construction period of May to October.

Total backlog at September 30, 2003 was \$1,745 million (including APAC's \$79 million proportionate share of work related to an unconsolidated equity joint venture), compared to \$1,691 million at September 30, 2002. APAC includes a construction project in its backlog when a contract is awarded or a firm letter of commitment is obtained and funding is in place. The backlog at September 30, 2003 is considered firm, and a major portion is expected to be completed during fiscal 2004.

ASHLAND DISTRIBUTION

Ashland Distribution Company ("Ashland Distribution") distributes chemicals, plastics, reinforcements and resins, and fine ingredients in North America and plastics in Europe. Suppliers include many of the world's leading chemical manufacturers. Ashland Distribution specializes in providing mixed truckloads and less-than-truckload quantities to customers in a wide range of industries. Deliveries are facilitated through a network of owned or leased facilities including 120 locations in North America. Distribution of thermoplastic resins in Europe is conducted primarily through 17 third-party warehouses located in 13 countries. Ashland Distribution operates in the following major market segments:

CHEMICALS - Ashland Distribution distributes specialty and industrial chemicals, additives and solvents to industrial users in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Puerto Rico, as well as some export operations. Markets served include the paint and coatings, inks, adhesives, polymer, rubber, industrial and institutional compounding, automotive, appliance and paper industries.

PLASTICS - Ashland Distribution offers a broad range of thermoplastic resins and specialties to processors in the United States, Canada, Mexico and Puerto Rico, as well as some export operations. Processors include injection molders, extruders, blow molders, and rotational molders. Ashland Distribution also provides plastic material transfer and packaging services and less-than-truckload quantities of packaged thermoplastics. Additionally, Ashland Distribution markets a broad range of thermoplastics to processors in Europe via distribution centers located in Belgium, Denmark, England, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Spain and Sweden.

COMPOSITES - Ashland Distribution supplies mixed truckload and less-than-truckload quantities of polyester thermosetting resins, fiberglass and other specialty reinforcements, catalysts and allied products to customers in the reinforced plastics and cultured marble industries through distribution facilities located throughout North America.

INGREDIENTS - Ashland Distribution markets food-grade ingredients to food and beverage industry customers in North America. It also distributes ingredients used by companies in the personal care and pharmaceutical industries.

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES - Working in cooperation with chemical waste service companies, Ashland Distribution provides customers with collection, disposal and recycling of hazardous and non-hazardous waste streams. Services are offered through a North American network of more than 80 distribution centers, including 10 storage facilities that have been fully permitted by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("USEPA").

ASHLAND SPECIALTY CHEMICAL

Ashland Specialty Chemical Company ("Ashland Specialty Chemical") is focused on two primary chemistries: thermoset and water. Ashland Specialty Chemical manufactures and supplies specialty chemical products and services to industries including automotive, building and construction, foundry, marine, paint, paper, ink and flexible packaging. Ashland Specialty Chemical owns and operates 38 manufacturing facilities and participates in 12 manufacturing joint ventures in 20 countries.

THERMOSET CHEMISTRY

COMPOSITE POLYMERS - This business group manufactures and sells a broad range of chemical-resistant, fire-retardant, general-purpose and high-performance marine grades of unsaturated polyester and vinyl ester resins and gelcoats for the reinforced plastics industry. Key markets include the transportation, construction and marine industries. This business group has manufacturing plants in Jacksonville and Fort Smith, Arkansas; Los Angeles, California; Bartow, Florida; Pittsburgh and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Johnson Creek, Wisconsin; Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada; Kunshan, China; Porvoo and Lahti, Finland; Sauveterre, France; Miszewo, Poland; Benicarlo, Spain; and, through separate joint ventures has manufacturing plants in Sao Paulo, Brazil, and Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. This business group also manufactures products through an Ashland Specialty Chemical facility located in Mississauga, Ontario, Canada. The Petrochemicals business, a group within the Composite Polymers business group, manufactures maleic anhydride in Neal, West Virginia and also markets maleic anhydride in North America.

CASTING SOLUTIONS - This business group manufactures and sells metal casting chemicals worldwide, including sand-binding resin systems, refractory coatings, release agents, engineered sand additives and riser sleeves. This business group serves the global metal casting industry from 9 owned and operated manufacturing sites which includes factories located in Campinas, Brazil; Mississauga, Ontario, Canada; Changzhou, China; Milan, Italy; Alava, Cantabria and Las Arenas, Spain; Kidderminster, England and Cleveland, Ohio. Casting Solutions also has 9 joint venture manufacturing facilities located in Vienna, Austria; Pons and Le Goulet, France; Bendorf and Wuelfrath, Germany; Ulsan, South Korea; Alvsjo, Sweden and St. Gallen, Switzerland.

SPECIALTY POLYMERS AND ADHESIVES - This business group manufactures and sells adhesive systems to the building and construction, transportation, and packaging and converting markets. Key technologies include: emulsion polymer isocyanate adhesives for structural wood bonding; elastomeric polymer adhesives and butyl rubber roofing tapes for commercial roofing applications; polyurethane and epoxy structural adhesives for bonding fiberglass reinforced plastics, composites, thermoplastics and metals in automotive, recreational, and industrial applications; specialty phenolic resins for paper impregnation and friction material bonding; induction bonding systems for thermoplastic materials; acrylic polymers for pressure-sensitive adhesives; urethane adhesives for flexible packaging applications; and hot melt adhesives for various packaging applications. It has manufacturing plants in Calumet City, Illinois; Norwood and Totowa, New Jersey; Ashland and Columbus, Ohio; White City, Oregon; and Kidderminster, England.

WATER CHEMISTRY

DREW INDUSTRIAL - This business group supplies specialized chemicals and consulting services for the treatment of boiler water, cooling water, steam, fuel and waste streams. It also supplies process chemicals and technical services to the pulp and paper and mining industries and additives to manufacturers of latex and paint. It conducts operations throughout North America, Europe and the Far East and has manufacturing plants in Kearny, New Jersey; Houston, Texas; Ajax, Ontario, Canada; Viiala, Finland; Somercotes, England; Sydney, Australia; and Singapore; and, through separate joint ventures, has production facilities in Seoul, South Korea and Navi Mumbai, India.

DREW MARINE - This business group supplies technical products and services for the global marine industry. Products and services worldwide include a comprehensive line of marine chemicals and water treatment testing, sealing products, welding and refrigeration products, and firefighting, safety and rescue products for the world's merchant marine fleet.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

ELECTRONIC CHEMICALS - This business group was a leading manufacturer and marketer of a variety of electronic materials and services for the worldwide semiconductor industry. On August 29, 2003, Ashland completed the sale of its Electronic Chemicals business in a cash transaction valued at approximately \$300 million.

OTHER MATTERS

For information on Ashland Distribution and Ashland Specialty Chemical and federal, state and local statutes and regulations governing releases into, or protection of, the environment, see "Item 1. Business - Miscellaneous - Environmental Matters" and "Item 3. Legal Proceedings - Environmental Proceedings" in this annual report on Form 10-K.

VALVOLINE

The Valvoline Company, a division of Ashland, is a marketer of premium-branded automotive and commercial oils, automotive chemicals, automotive appearance products and automotive services, with sales in more than 120 countries. The Valvoline(R) trademark was federally registered in

1873 and is the oldest trademark for a lubricating oil in the United States. Valvoline is comprised of the following business units:

NORTH AMERICAN: DO IT YOURSELF ("DIY") and DO IT FOR ME ("DIFM") - In the United States and Canada, Valvoline markets premium automotive lubricants and chemicals to the U.S. private passenger car and light truck market through two large business units based on the consumer segments of the market, "Do-It-Yourself" and "Do-It-For-Me." These two business units market Valvoline(R) motor oil, one of the top selling brands in the United States; synthetic SynPower(R) automotive chemicals; Eagle One(R) automotive appearance products; Zerex(R) antifreeze; and Pyroil(R) automotive chemicals. The DIY business unit sells Valvoline products to consumers who perform their own auto maintenance, through retail auto parts stores, mass merchandisers, and warehouse distributors and their affiliated jobber stores such as NAPA and Carquest. The DIFM business unit sells Valvoline products to installers (such as car dealers and quick lubes) through a network of independent distributors, 5 company-owned and operated "direct market" operations and directly to large national account installers. This business unit also established a chain of quick lubes branded "Valvoline Express Care(R)." This chain consists of approximately 300 stores which are independently owned and operated.

The DIFM business unit also has a strategic alliance with Cummins Engine Company, Inc. ("Cummins") to distribute heavy-duty lubricants to the commercial market. This business unit marketed R-12, an automotive refrigerant that was phased out of production in 1995. Valvoline depleted its inventory of R-12 in fiscal 2003.

EAGLE ONE - Eagle One markets its brand of premium automobile appearance chemicals for "above-the-hood" applications. Products include waxes, polishes, wheel cleaners and tire shine products. Eagle One markets its products in North America through Valvoline's DIY and DIFM business units and internationally through the Valvoline International business unit.

VALVOLINE INTERNATIONAL - Valvoline International markets Valvoline and Eagle One branded products through wholly-owned affiliates, joint ventures, licensees, and independent distributors in over 120 countries around the world. The profitability of the business is balanced geographically, with over half of the profit coming from mature markets in Europe and Australia. There are smaller, but rapidly growing, businesses in emerging markets of China, India, and Mexico, including joint ventures with Cummins in India and China. These businesses market lubricants for servicing heavy duty engines and equipment. Supply for these businesses comes from toll manufacturers and from company-owned plants in the United States, Australia, and the Netherlands.

VALVOLINE INSTANT OIL CHANGE(R) ("VIOC") - VIOC is one of the largest competitors in the expanding U.S. "fast oil change" service business, providing Valvoline with a significant share of the installed segment of the passenger car and light truck motor oil market. As of September 30, 2003, 357 company-owned and 372 franchised service centers were operating in 38 states.

VIOC has continued its customer service innovation through its upgraded and enhanced Maximum Vehicle Performance program ("MVP"). MVP is a computer-based program that maintains system-wide service records on all customer vehicles. MVP also contains a database on all car models, which allows employees to make service recommendations based on a vehicle owner's manual recommendations.

REFINING AND MARKETING

Refining and Marketing operations are conducted by MAP and its subsidiaries, including its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Speedway SuperAmerica LLC and Marathon Ashland Pipe Line LLC. MAP also participates in the travel center business through its joint venture with Pilot Corporation ("Pilot"). Marathon Oil Company ("Marathon") holds a 62% interest in MAP and Ashland holds a 38% interest in MAP.

REFINING

MAP owns and operates seven refineries with an aggregate refining capacity of 935,000 barrels of crude oil per calendar day (1 barrel = 42 United States gallons). The table below sets forth the location and daily crude oil throughput capacity (measured in barrels) of each of MAP's refineries as of September 30, 2003:

Garyville, Louisiana.....	232,000
Catlettsburg, Kentucky.....	222,000
Robinson, Illinois.....	192,000
Detroit, Michigan.....	74,000
Canton, Ohio.....	73,000
Texas City, Texas.....	72,000
St. Paul Park, Minnesota.....	70,000

Total	935,000
	=====

MAP's refineries include crude oil atmospheric and vacuum distillation, fluid catalytic cracking, catalytic reforming, desulfurization and sulfur recovery units. The refineries have the capability to process a wide variety of crude oils and to produce typical refinery products, including reformulated gasoline ("RFG"). In addition to typical refinery products, the Catlettsburg refinery, an ISO-9000 certified facility, manufactures base lube oil stocks and a wide range of petrochemicals. For the twelve months ended September 30, 2003, 66% of MAP's base lube oil production was purchased by Valvoline and 41% of MAP's petrochemical production (excluding propylene) was purchased by Ashland Distribution.

MAP also produces a wide range of asphalt products, petroleum pitch aromatics, slack wax, extract and polymer grade propylene.

The table below sets forth MAP's refinery total input and refinery production by product group for the three years ended September 30, 2003. Refinery total inputs include crude oil and other feedstocks.

	Years Ended September 30		
	2003	2002	2001
Refinery Input			
(in thousands of barrels per day)	1,033.1	1,080.9	1,051.0
Refined Product Yields			
(in thousands of barrels per day)			
Gasoline.....	553.9	594.0	560.5
Distillates.....	278.4	292.9	278.7
Propane.....	20.7	21.7	21.2
Feedstocks & Special Products	87.6	83.5	69.9
Heavy Fuel Oils.....	23.1	21.3	44.7
Asphalt.....	70.5	73.3	74.5
Total.....	1,034.2	1,086.7	1,049.5

Planned maintenance activities requiring temporary shutdown of certain refinery operating units are periodically performed at each refinery. MAP initiated major turnarounds at the Robinson, Texas City and Garyville refineries in the year ended September 30, 2003.

At its Catlettsburg, Kentucky refinery, MAP continues to make progress on an approximately \$400 million multi-year integrated investment program to upgrade product yield realizations and reduce fixed and variable manufacturing expenses. This program involves the expansion, conversion and retirement of certain refinery processing units which, in addition to improving profitability, will reduce the refinery's total gasoline pool sulfur below 30 parts per million, thereby eliminating the need for additional low sulfur gasoline compliance investments at the refinery based on current regulations. The project is expected to be completed in the March quarter of 2004.

MAP has announced approximately \$300 million in new capital projects for its Detroit, Michigan refinery. One of the projects, a \$110 million expansion project, is expected to raise the crude oil throughput at the refinery by 35% to 100,000 barrels per day. Other projects are expected to enable the refinery to produce new clean fuels and further control regulated air emissions. Construction is expected to start in early 2004, with completion scheduled for 2005. MAP will be obtaining financing to fund these capital projects.

MARKETING

MAP's principal marketing areas for gasoline and distillates include the Midwest, the upper Great Plains and the southeastern United States. Gasoline and distillates are sold in 21 states. Gasoline is sold at wholesale primarily to independent marketers, jobbers and chain retailers who resell these products through several thousand retail outlets. MAP also supplies approximately 3,850 jobber-dealer, open-dealer and lessee-dealer locations using the Marathon(R) and Ashland(R) brand names.

Gasoline, distillates and aviation products are also sold to utilities, railroads, river towing companies, commercial fleet operators, airlines and governmental agencies. About one-half of MAP's propane is sold into the home heating markets and the balance is purchased by industrial consumers. Propylene and petrochemicals are marketed to customers in the chemical industry. Base lube oils, slack wax and extract are sold throughout the United States. Pitch is also sold domestically, but

approximately 12% of pitch products are exported into growing markets in Canada, Mexico, India, and South America.

MAP markets asphalt through owned and leased terminals located throughout the Midwest and Southeast. The MAP customer base includes approximately 900 asphalt paving contractors, government entities (states, counties, cities and townships) and asphalt roofing shingle manufacturers.

Retail sales of gasoline and diesel fuel are made through MAP's wholly-owned subsidiary, Speedway SuperAmerica LLC ("SSA"). As of September 30, 2003, SSA had 1,791 retail outlets in 9 states in the Midwest which sell petroleum products and convenience store merchandise primarily under the brand names Speedway(R) and SuperAmerica(R). The retail locations sell a variety of food, merchandise, cigarettes, candy and beverages. Several locations also have on-premises brand-name restaurants.

During the twelve months ended September 30, 2003, 62% of SSA's revenues (excluding excise taxes) were derived from the sale of gasoline and diesel fuel, and the remainder were derived from the sale of merchandise.

Pilot Travel Centers LLC ("PTC") is the largest operator of travel centers in the United States with approximately 260 locations in 34 states. The travel centers offer diesel fuel, gasoline and a variety of other services associated with such locations, including on-premises brand-name restaurants. In February 2003, PTC purchased 60 retail travel centers located in 15 states, primarily in the Midwest, Southeast and Southwest. Pilot and MAP each own a 50% interest in PTC.

MAP's retail marketing strategy is focused on SSA's Midwest operations, additional growth in the Marathon(R) brand and continued growth for PTC.

The table below shows the volume of MAP's consolidated refined product sales for the three years ended September 30, 2003.

	Years Ended September 30		
	2003	2002	2001
Refined Product Sales			
(in thousands of barrels per day)			
Gasoline.....	772.4	774.3	741.0
Distillates.....	360.6	345.7	349.6
Propane.....	20.3	22.7	21.5
Feedstocks & Special Products	94.9	80.3	68.1
Heavy Fuel Oils.....	23.2	22.0	46.3
Asphalt.....	73.2	76.2	75.8
Total.....	1,344.6	1,321.2	1,302.3
Matching Buy/Sell Volumes included in above.....	68.3	69.3	43.7

MAP sells RFG in parts of its marketing territory, primarily Chicago, Illinois; Louisville, Kentucky; Northern Kentucky; and Milwaukee, Wisconsin. MAP also markets low-vapor-pressure gasolines in nine states.

SUPPLY AND TRANSPORTATION

The crude oil processed in MAP's refineries is obtained from negotiated contract and spot purchases or exchanges. For the year ended September 30, 2003, MAP's negotiated contract and spot purchases for refinery input of crude oil produced in the United States averaged 403,400 barrels per day, including an average of 33,700 net barrels per day acquired from Marathon. For the year ended September 30, 2003, MAP's foreign crude oil requirements were met largely through purchases from various foreign national oil companies, producing companies and traders. Purchases of foreign crude oil represented 55% of MAP's crude oil requirements for the year ended September 30, 2003.

MAP's ownership or interest in domestic pipeline systems in its refining and marketing areas is significant. MAP owns, leases or has an ownership interest in 6,786 miles of pipelines in 12 states. This network transports crude oil and refined products to and from terminals, refineries and other pipelines and includes 3,073 miles of crude oil trunk lines and 3,713 miles of refined product lines.

MAP has a 46.7% ownership interest in LOOP LLC ("LOOP"), which is the owner and operator of the only U.S. deepwater port facility capable of receiving crude oil from very large crude carriers. Ashland has retained a 4% ownership interest in LOOP. MAP also owns a 49.9% ownership interest in LOCAP LLC ("LOCAP"), which is the owner and operator of a crude oil

pipeline connecting LOOP to the Capline system. Ashland has retained an 8.62% ownership interest in LOCAP. In addition, MAP has a 37.2% ownership interest in the Capline system. These port and pipeline systems provide MAP with access to common carrier transportation from the Louisiana Gulf Coast to

Patoka, Illinois. At Patoka, the Capline system connects with other common carrier pipelines owned by MAP that provide transportation to MAP's refineries in Illinois, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota and Ohio.

Ohio River Pipe Line LLC ("ORPL"), a subsidiary of MAP, is building a pipeline from Kenova, West Virginia to Columbus, Ohio. The pipeline will be an interstate common carrier pipeline. The pipeline will be known as Cardinal Products Pipeline and is expected to initially move about 36,000 barrels per day of refined petroleum into the central Ohio region. The majority of the construction work on the pipeline has been completed, with start-up of the pipeline expected late in the quarter ending December 31, 2003.

In February 2003, MAP increased its ownership in Centennial Pipeline LLC from 33.3% to 50%. The remaining 50% is owned by TE Products Pipeline Company, Limited Partnership.

MAP also has a 33.3% ownership interest in Minnesota Pipe Line Company, which operates a crude oil pipeline in Minnesota. Minnesota Pipe Line Company provides MAP with access to crude oil common carrier transportation from Clearbrook, Minnesota, to Cottage Grove, Minnesota, which is in the vicinity of MAP's St. Paul Park, Minnesota refinery.

MAP's marine transportation operations include towboats and barges that transport refined products on the Ohio, Mississippi and Illinois rivers, their tributaries and the Intracoastal Waterway. MAP also leases and owns railcars in various sizes and capacities for movement and storage of petroleum products and a large number of tractors, tank trailers and general service trucks.

In addition, MAP owns and operates 87 terminal facilities from which it sells a wide range of petroleum products. These facilities are supplied by a combination of barges, pipeline, truck and/or rail.

OTHER MATTERS

For information on MAP and federal, state and local statutes and regulations governing releases into the environment or protection of the environment, see "Item 1. Business - Miscellaneous - Environmental Matters" in this annual report on Form 10-K.

In connection with the formation of MAP, Ashland and Marathon entered into a Put/Call, Registration Rights and Standstill Agreement (the "Put/Call Agreement"). The Put/Call Agreement provides that at any time after December 31, 2004, Ashland will have the right to sell to Marathon all of Ashland's ownership interest in MAP, for an amount in cash and/or Marathon debt or equity securities equal to the product of 85% (90% if equity securities are used) of the fair market value of MAP at that time, multiplied by Ashland's percentage interest in MAP. Payment could be made at closing, or, at Marathon's option, in three equal annual installments, the first of which would be payable at closing. At any time after December 31, 2004, Marathon will have the right to purchase Ashland's ownership interest in MAP, for an amount in cash equal to the product of 115% of the fair market value of MAP at that time, multiplied by Ashland's percentage interest in MAP.

MISCELLANEOUS

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

Ashland has implemented a company-wide environmental policy overseen by the Environmental, Health and Safety Committee of Ashland's Board of Directors. Ashland's Environmental, Health and Safety ("EH&S") department has the responsibility to ensure that Ashland's operating groups maintain environmental compliance in accordance with applicable laws and regulations. This responsibility is carried out via training; widespread communication of EH&S policies, information and regulatory updates; formulation of relevant policies, procedures and work practices; design and implementation of EH&S management systems; internal auditing by an independent auditing group within the EH&S department; monitoring of legislative and regulatory developments that may affect Ashland's operations; assistance to the operating divisions in identifying compliance issues and opportunities for voluntary actions that go beyond compliance; and incident response planning and implementation.

Federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment have a significant impact on how Ashland conducts its businesses. New laws are being enacted and regulations are being adopted by various regulatory agencies on a continuing basis, and the costs of compliance with these new rules cannot be estimated until the manner in which they will be implemented has been more accurately defined. In addition, most foreign countries in which Ashland conducts business have laws dealing with similar matters.

At September 30, 2003, Ashland's reserves for environmental remediation amounted to \$174 million, reflecting Ashland's estimates of the most likely costs that will be incurred over an extended period to remediate identified conditions for which the costs are reasonably estimable, without regard to any third-party recoveries. Engineering

studies, probability techniques, historical experience and other factors are used to identify and evaluate remediation alternatives and their related costs in determining the estimated reserves for environmental remediation. Environmental remediation reserves are subject to numerous inherent uncertainties that affect Ashland's ability to estimate its share of the costs. Such uncertainties involve the nature and extent of contamination at each site, the extent of required cleanup efforts under existing environmental regulations, widely varying costs of alternate cleanup methods, changes in environmental regulations, the potential effect of continuing improvements in remediation technology, and the number and financial strength of other potentially responsible parties at multiparty sites. Ashland regularly adjusts its reserves as environmental remediation continues. No individual remediation location is material to Ashland as its largest reserve for any site is less than 10% of the remediation reserve. As a result, Ashland's exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material, and these sites are in various stages of ongoing remediation. Although environmental remediation could have a material effect on results of operations if a series of adverse developments occurs in a particular quarter or fiscal year, Ashland believes that the chance of such developments occurring in the same quarter or fiscal year is remote.

In connection with the formation of MAP, Marathon and Ashland each retained responsibility for certain environmental costs arising out of their respective prior ownership and operation of the facilities transferred to MAP. In certain situations, various threshold provisions apply, eliminating or reducing the financial responsibility of the contributing party until certain levels of expenditure have been reached. In other situations, sunset provisions gradually diminish the level of financial responsibility of the contributing party over time.

AIR - The Clean Air Act (the "CAA") imposes stringent limits on air emissions, establishes a federally mandated operating permit program, and allows for civil and criminal enforcement actions. Additionally, it establishes air quality attainment deadlines and control requirements based on the severity of air pollution in a given geographical area. Various state clean air acts implement, complement and, in some instances, add to the requirements of the federal CAA. The requirements of the CAA and its state counterparts have a significant impact on the daily operation of Ashland's businesses and, in many cases, on product formulation and other long-term business decisions. Ashland's businesses maintain numerous permits pursuant to these clean air laws and have implemented systems to oversee ongoing compliance efforts.

In July 1997, the USEPA promulgated revisions to the National Ambient Air Quality Standards ("NAAQS") for ground level ozone and particulate matter which could have a significant effect on certain of Ashland's chemical manufacturing and distribution businesses, and on MAP. The USEPA has begun to implement the new ozone and particulate matters standards, which could result in areas of the country, where Ashland and MAP conduct operations, being designated as not in compliance with the NAAQS. Until these revisions have been more fully implemented, it is not currently possible to estimate any potential financial impact that the revised standards may have on Ashland's or MAP's operations.

WATER - Ashland's businesses maintain numerous discharge permits, as the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System of the Clean Water Act and state programs require, and have implemented systems to oversee their compliance efforts. In addition, several of MAP's operations, in particular its barge and terminal facilities, are regulated under the Oil Pollution Act of 1990.

SOLID WASTE - Ashland's businesses are subject to the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), which establishes standards for the management of solid and hazardous wastes. In addition to regulating current waste disposal practices, RCRA also addresses the environmental effects of certain past waste disposal operations, the recycling of wastes and the storage of regulated substances in underground tanks.

REMEDIATION - Ashland currently operates, and in the past has operated, various facilities where, during the normal course of business, releases of hazardous substances have occurred. Federal and state laws, including but not limited to RCRA and various remediation laws, require that contamination caused by such releases be assessed and, if necessary, remediated to meet applicable standards. MAP operates, and in the past has operated, certain retail outlets where, during the normal course of business, releases of petroleum products from underground storage tanks have occurred. Federal and state laws require that contamination caused by such releases at these sites be assessed and, if necessary, remediated to meet applicable standards.

RESEARCH

Ashland conducts a program of research and development to invent and improve products and processes and to improve environmental controls for its existing facilities. It maintains research facilities in Dublin, Ohio; Lexington, Kentucky; and Atlanta, Georgia. Research and development costs

are expensed as they are incurred and totaled \$36 million in fiscal 2003 (\$34 million in 2002 and \$33 million in 2001).

COMPETITION

In all its operations, Ashland is subject to intense competition both from companies in the industries in which it operates and from products of companies in other industries.

The majority of the business for which APAC competes is obtained by competitive bidding. There are a substantial number of competitors in the markets in which APAC operates and, as a result, all of APAC's goods and services are marketed under highly competitive conditions. Factors which influence APAC's competitiveness are price, reputation for quality, the availability of aggregate materials, the geographic location of plants and aggregate materials, machinery and equipment, knowledge of local market conditions and estimating abilities.

Each of Ashland Distribution's lines of business (chemicals, plastics, ingredients, composites, and environmental services), competes with national, regional and local companies throughout North America. The plastics distribution business also competes in Europe. Competition within each line of business is based primarily on price and reliability of supply.

Ashland Specialty Chemical's businesses compete globally in selected niche markets, largely on the basis of technology and service. The number of competitors in the specialty chemical business varies from product to product, and it is not practical to identify such competitors because of the broad range of products and markets served by those products. However, many of Ashland Specialty Chemical's businesses hold proprietary technology, and Ashland believes it has a leading or strong market position in most of its specialty chemical products. Ashland Specialty Chemical's petrochemicals business is largely a commodities business, with pricing and quality being the most important factors.

Valvoline competes in the highly competitive lubricants business principally through premium products and services, distribution capability, a focused "master" brand strategy, advertising and sales promotion. Some of the major brands of motor oils and lubricants Valvoline competes with internationally are Havoline(R), Castrol(R), Pennzoil(R) and Quaker State(R). The highly competitive consumer products car care business is primarily composed of maintenance chemicals, appearance products and tire cleaners. Valvoline competes primarily in this market through specific product performance benefits, distribution capability and advertising and sales promotion. In the highly competitive "fast oil change" business, Valvoline competes with other leading independent fast lube chains on a national, regional or local basis, as well as automobile dealers and service stations. Important competitive factors for Valvoline in the "fast oil change" market include Valvoline's brand recognition; increasing market presence through VIOC and Valvoline Express Care outlets; as well as quality of service, speed, location, convenience and sales promotion.

MAP competes with a large number of companies to acquire crude oil for refinery processing and in the distribution and marketing of a full array of petroleum products. MAP believes it ranks among the top ten U.S. petroleum companies on the basis of crude oil refining capacity as of September 30, 2003. MAP competes in four distinct markets for the sale of refined products - wholesale, spot, branded and retail distribution. MAP believes it competes with approximately 40 companies in the wholesale distribution of petroleum products to private brand marketers and large commercial and industrial consumers; approximately 80 companies in the sale of petroleum products in the spot market; approximately 10 refiner/marketers in the supply of branded petroleum products to dealers and jobbers; and approximately 600 petroleum product retailers in the retail sale of petroleum products. MAP also competes in the convenience store industry through SSA's retail outlets and in the travel center industry through its ownership in PTC. The retail outlets offer consumers gasoline, diesel fuel (at selected locations) and a variety of food, merchandise, cigarettes, candy and beverages.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This annual report on Form 10-K contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Words such as "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "is likely," "predicts," and variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Although Ashland believes that its expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, it cannot assure that the expectations contained in such statements will be achieved. Important factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in such statements are discussed under "Risks and Uncertainties" in Note A of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report on Form 10-K. For a discussion of other factors and risks affecting Ashland's revenues and operations see "Item 1. Business - Miscellaneous - Marketing Conditions" below.

MARKETING CONDITIONS

Domestic and international political, legislative, regulatory and legal changes may adversely affect Ashland's results of operations. Political actions may include changes in the policies of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries or other developments involving or affecting oil-producing countries, including terrorist activities, military conflict, embargoes, internal instability or actions or reactions of the U.S. government in anticipation of, or in response to, such actions. Profitability of MAP depends largely on the margin between the cost of crude oil and other feedstocks refined and the selling prices of refined products. MAP is a purchaser of crude oil in order to satisfy its refinery throughput requirements. As a result, MAP's overall profitability could be adversely affected by increases in crude oil and other feedstock prices that are not recovered in the market place through higher prices. Reference should be made to the Refining and Marketing section of the Management's Discussion and Analysis section in this annual report on Form 10-K for a discussion of the impact of crude oil costs on MAP's operating performance. While Ashland maintains reserves for anticipated liabilities and carries various levels of insurance, Ashland could be affected by civil, criminal, regulatory or administrative proceedings and claims relating to asbestos, environmental remediation and other matters. Additional information concerning Ashland's asbestos-related litigation and environmental remediation may be found in Note M of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report on Form 10-K.

Ashland's operations are subject to various U.S. and foreign laws and regulations relating to environmental protection and worker health and safety. These laws and regulations regulate discharges of pollutants into the air and water, the management and disposal of hazardous substances, and the cleanup of contaminated properties. The costs of complying with these laws and regulations can be substantial and may increase as applicable requirements become more stringent and new rules are implemented. If violation of these laws and regulations occur, Ashland may be forced to pay substantial fines, to complete additional costly projects, or to modify or curtail its operations to limit contaminant emissions.

The profitability of Ashland's businesses is particularly susceptible to downturns in the economy, particularly downturns in the segments of the U.S. economy related to the purchase and sale of durable goods, including housing, construction, automotive, and marine. Both overall demand for Ashland's products and its profit margins may decline as a direct result of an economic recession, inflation, changes in the prices of hydrocarbons and other raw materials (e.g., crude oil and petroleum and chemical products), consumer confidence, interest rates or governmental fiscal policies. In addition, Ashland's profitability may experience significant changes as a result of variations in sales, changes in product mix or pricing competition.

In addition, changes in climate and weather can significantly affect the performance of several of Ashland's operations. Extreme variations from normal climatic conditions could have a significant effect on the operating results of APAC's construction operations. In particular, unfavorable weather conditions will delay the completion of construction projects and may require the use of additional resources. In addition, most of the refined products sold by MAP and Valvoline are seasonal in nature, and thus demand for those products may decline due to significant changes in prevailing climate and weather conditions. MAP's production or distribution operations are also subject to disruption by extreme weather conditions such as floods, frozen rivers or hurricanes. In addition, adverse weather conditions which impair driving conditions, such as winter storms, can result in reduced retail sales of gasoline.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

Ashland's corporate headquarters, which is leased, is located in Covington, Kentucky. Principal offices of other major operations are located in Atlanta, Georgia (APAC); Dublin, Ohio (Ashland Distribution and Ashland Specialty Chemical); Lexington, Kentucky (Valvoline); and Russell, Kentucky (Administrative Services). All of these offices are leased, except for the Russell office, which is owned. Principal manufacturing, marketing and other materially important physical properties of Ashland and its subsidiaries are described under the appropriate segment under Item 1 in this annual report on Form 10-K. Additional information concerning certain leases may be found in Note F of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEEDINGS - (1) Under the federal Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (as amended) and similar state laws, Ashland may be subject to joint and several liability for clean-up costs in connection with alleged releases of hazardous substances at sites where it has been identified as a "potentially responsible party" ("PRP"). As of September 30, 2003, Ashland had been named a PRP at 100 waste treatment or disposal sites. These sites are currently subject to ongoing investigation and remedial activities, overseen by the USEPA or a state agency, in which Ashland is typically

participating as a member of a PRP group.

Generally, the type of relief sought includes remediation of contaminated soil and/or groundwater, reimbursement for past costs of site clean-up and administrative oversight, and/or long-term monitoring of environmental conditions at the sites. The ultimate costs are not predictable with assurance. For additional information regarding environmental matters and reserves, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Application of Critical Accounting Policies - Environmental Remediation" and Note M of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements and "Item 1. Business - Miscellaneous - Environmental Matters" in this annual report on Form 10-K.

(2) On May 13, 2002, Ashland entered into a plea agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Minnesota and the Environmental Crimes Section of the U.S. Department of Justice regarding a May 16, 1997 sewer fire at the St. Paul Park, Minnesota refinery, which is now owned by MAP. As part of the plea agreement, Ashland entered guilty pleas to two federal misdemeanors, paid a \$3.5 million fine related to violations of the CAA, paid \$3.55 million as restitution to the employees injured in the fire, and paid \$200,000 as restitution to the responding rescue units. Ashland also agreed to complete certain upgrades to the St. Paul Park refinery's process sewers, junction boxes and drains to meet standards established by Subpart QQQ of the New Source Performance Standards of the CAA (the "Refinery Upgrades").

In addition, as part of the plea agreement, Ashland entered into a deferred prosecution agreement, wherein prosecution of a separate count of the indictment charging Ashland with violating Subpart QQQ was deferred for four years. The deferred prosecution agreement provides that if Ashland satisfies the terms and conditions of the plea agreement and completes the Refinery Upgrades, the deferred prosecution agreement will terminate and the United States will dismiss that count with prejudice. If, however, it is determined by the court that Ashland willfully violated any term or condition of the plea agreement during the deferral period, the United States may re-initiate prosecution of the deferred count of the indictment, using an admission made by Ashland for purposes of the plea agreement that Ashland knowingly operated the St. Paul Park refinery in violation of certain Subpart QQQ standards.

As part of its sentence, Ashland was placed on probation for five years. The primary condition of probation is an obligation not to commit future federal, state, or local crimes. If Ashland were to commit such a crime, it would be subject not only to prosecution for that new violation, but the government could also seek to revoke Ashland's probation. The probation office has retained an independent environmental consultant to review and monitor Ashland's compliance with applicable environmental requirements and the terms and conditions of probation. The court also included other customary terms and restrictions of probation in its probation order.

(3) Pursuant to a 1988 RCRA Administrative Consent Order ("Consent Order"), Ashland is remediating soil and groundwater at a former chemical distribution facility site in Lansing, Michigan. The USEPA is asserting that Ashland has not complied with certain provisions of the Consent Order and has indicated that it may seek to assess penalties against Ashland. Ashland disputes USEPA's assertions. No formal penalty proceeding has been initiated.

ASBESTOS-RELATED LITIGATION - For information regarding liabilities arising from asbestos-related litigation, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis - Application of Critical Accounting Policies - Asbestos-related litigation" and Note M of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report on Form 10-K.

SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE LITIGATION - On August 16, 2002, Central Laborers' Pension Fund, derivatively as a shareholder of Ashland, instituted an action in the Circuit Court of Kentucky in Kenton County against Ashland's then-serving Board of Directors. On motion of Ashland and the other defendants, the case was removed to the United States District Court, Eastern District of Kentucky, Covington Division. Plaintiff has moved to remand the case to the state court. The action is purportedly filed on behalf of Ashland, and asserts the following causes of action against the Directors: breach of fiduciary duty, abuse of control, gross mismanagement, and waste of corporate assets. The suit also names Paul W. Chellgren, the then-serving Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, and James R. Boyd, former Senior Vice President and Group Operating Officer, as individual defendants, and it seeks to recover an unstated sum from them individually alleging unjust enrichment from various transactions completed during their tenure with Ashland. The suit further seeks an unspecified sum from Mr. Chellgren individually based upon alleged usurpation of corporate opportunities. The suit also names J. Marvin Quin, Ashland's Chief Financial Officer, as well as three former employees of Ashland's wholly-owned subsidiary, APAC, as individual defendants and alleges that they participated in the preparation and filing of false financial statements during fiscal years 1999 - 2001. The suit further names Ernst & Young LLP ("E&Y"), as a defendant, alleging professional accounting malpractice and negligence in the conduct of its audit of Ashland's 1999 and 2000 financial statements, respectively, as well as alleging that E&Y aided and abetted the individual defendants in their alleged breach of duties. The complaint seeks to recover, jointly and

severally, from defendants an unstated sum of compensatory and punitive damages. The complaint seeks equitable and/or injunctive relief to avoid continuing harm from alleged ongoing illegal acts, and seeks a disgorgement of defendants' alleged insider-trading gains, in

addition to the reasonable cost and expenses incurred in bringing the complaint, including attorneys' and experts' fees.

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS - In addition to the matters described above, there are various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings pending or threatened against Ashland and its current and former subsidiaries. Such actions are with respect to commercial matters, product liability, toxic tort liability, and other environmental matters, which seek remedies or damages, some of which are for substantial amounts. While these actions are being contested, their outcome is not predictable.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders, through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise, during the quarter ended September 30, 2003.

ITEM X. EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF ASHLAND

The following is a list of Ashland's executive officers, their ages and their positions and offices during the last five years (listed alphabetically after the Chief Executive Officer as to members of Ashland's Executive Committee and other executive officers).

JAMES J. O'BRIEN (age 49) is Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer and Director of Ashland and has served in such capacities since 2002. During the past five years, he has also served as President, Chief Operating Officer, Senior Vice President and Group Operating Officer of Ashland and President of The Valvoline Company.

GARY A. CAPPELINE (age 54) is Senior Vice President and Group Operating Officer of Ashland and President of Ashland Specialty Chemical Company, and has served in such capacities since 2003 and 2002, respectively. During the past five years, he has also served as a chemical industry partner at Bear Stearns Merchant Bank, President of AlliedSignal Specialty Chemicals and Group Vice President, Pigments and Additives of Engelhard Corp.

DAVID J. D'ANTONI (age 58) is Senior Vice President and Group Operating Officer of Ashland and President of APAC, Inc. and has served in such capacities since 1988, 1999 and 2003, respectively. During the past five years, he has also served as President of Ashland Chemical Company.

DAVID L. HAUSRATH (age 51) is Vice President and General Counsel of Ashland and has served in such capacities since 1998 and 1999, respectively. During the past five years, he has also served as Associate General Counsel of Ashland.

J. DAN LACY (age 56) is Vice President - Corporate Affairs of Ashland and has served in such capacity since 1986. It is anticipated that Mr. Lacy will retire on December 31, 2003.

J. MARVIN QUIN (age 56) is Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Ashland and has served in such capacities since 1992.

RICHARD P. THOMAS (age 57) is Vice President and Secretary of Ashland and has served in such capacities since 1998 and 1999, respectively. During the past five years, he has also served as Associate General Counsel of Ashland and Administrative Vice President and General Counsel of Ashland Petroleum Company. It is anticipated that Mr. Thomas will retire on March 31, 2004.

KENNETH L. AULEN (age 54) is Administrative Vice President and Controller of Ashland and has served in such capacities since 1992. It is anticipated that Mr. Aulen will retire in the March quarter of fiscal 2004.

SAMUEL J. MITCHELL (age 42) is Vice President of Ashland and President of The Valvoline Company and has served in such capacities since 2002. During the past five years, he has also served as Vice President - Retail Business, Vice President of Marketing and Director of Marketing - The Valvoline Company.

FRANK L. WATERS (age 42) is Vice President of Ashland and President of Ashland Distribution Company and has served in such capacities since 2002. During the past five years, he has also served as Vice President of Ashland Plastics - Europe and Director of Sales for Ashland Distribution's Fine Ingredients Division.

Each executive officer is elected by the Board of Directors of Ashland to a term of one year, or until his successor is duly elected, at the annual meeting of the Board of Directors, except in those instances where the officer is elected other than at an annual meeting of the Board of Directors, in which case his tenure will expire at the next annual meeting of the Board of Directors unless the officer is re-elected.

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED SECURITY
HOLDER MATTERS

There is hereby incorporated by reference the information appearing in Note P of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in this annual report on Form 10-K.

At September 30, 2003, there were approximately 16,800 holders of record of Ashland's Common Stock. Ashland Common Stock is listed on the New York and Chicago stock exchanges (ticker symbol ASH) and has trading privileges on the Boston, Cincinnati, Pacific and Philadelphia stock exchanges.

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

See Five-Year Selected Financial Information on page F-26.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations on pages M-1 through M-12.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

See Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk on pages M-11 and M-12.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

The consolidated financial statements and financial schedule of Ashland presented in this annual report on Form 10-K are listed in the index on page F-1.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) As of September 30, 2003, Ashland, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including Ashland's Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of Ashland's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) and 15d-15(b) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

(b) There were no significant changes in Ashland's internal control over financial reporting, or in other factors, that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2003 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, Ashland's internal control over financial reporting.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

There is hereby incorporated by reference the information to appear under the caption "Ashland Inc.'s Board of Directors - Nominees for Election at the 2004 Annual Meeting" and the information regarding Section 16 beneficial ownership reporting compliance in Ashland's definitive Proxy Statement for its January 29, 2004 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the SEC within 120 days after September 30, 2003 ("Proxy Statement"). See also the list of Ashland's executive officers and related information under "Executive Officers of Ashland" in Part I - Item X in this annual report on Form 10-K.

There is hereby incorporated by reference the information to appear under the caption "Audit Committee Report" regarding Ashland's audit committee financial experts, as defined under Item 401 of Regulation S-K of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in Ashland's Proxy Statement.

Ashland has adopted a Code of Business Conduct (the "Code"). The Code applies to Ashland's directors, all employees of Ashland and its subsidiary companies, including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer and persons performing similar functions ("Key Personnel"). The Code is posted on Ashland's website. Ashland will satisfy any disclosure requirement under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, any provision of the Code with respect to its Key Personnel or directors by disclosing the nature of such amendment or waiver on its website or in a current report on Form 8-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

There is hereby incorporated by reference the information to appear under the captions "Executive Compensation," "Compensation of Directors" and "Miscellaneous - Personnel and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation" in Ashland's Proxy Statement.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED SHAREHOLDER MATTERS

There is hereby incorporated by reference the information to appear under the caption "Ashland Common Stock Ownership of Directors and Certain Officers of Ashland" and the information regarding the ownership of securities of Ashland in Ashland's Proxy Statement.

The following table summarizes the equity compensation plans under which Ashland Common Stock may be issued as of September 30, 2003. Except as disclosed in the narrative to the table, all plans were approved by shareholders of Ashland.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

Plan Category -----	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights -----	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights -----	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans(excluding securities reflected in column (a)) -----
	(a)	(b)	(c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders.....	6,971,774	\$37.56	2,712,816 (2)
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders (1).....	835,291	\$33.89	0
Total.....	7,807,065 =====	\$37.17 =====	2,712,816 =====

(1) The Ashland Inc. Stock Option Plan for Employees of Joint Ventures is the only equity compensation plan of Ashland not approved by Ashland's shareholders. This plan was approved by Ashland's Board of Directors on September 17, 1998 and is specifically designed to grant stock options to employees of joint ventures in which Ashland has an interest. There are currently no shares reserved for future issuance under this plan. The Board of Directors authorizes the issuance of the shares at the time the stock options are granted. A recipient of such stock options will have the right to purchase Ashland Common Stock at a price and on terms specified by the Personnel and Compensation Committee of Ashland's Board of Directors. The stock options listed in the table above have been granted to certain MAP employees and were registered with the SEC.

(2) Includes 489,074 shares available for issuance under the Deferred Compensation Plan for Employees, and 364,154 shares available for issuance under the Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Not applicable.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES

There is hereby incorporated by reference the information with respect to principal accountant fees and services to appear under the captions "Item 2: Ratification of Auditors" and "Audit Committee Report" in Ashland's Proxy Statement.

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(A) DOCUMENTS FILED AS PART OF THIS REPORT

(1) and (2) Financial Statements and Financial Schedule

The consolidated financial statements and financial schedule of Ashland presented in this annual report on Form 10-K are listed in the index on page F-1.

(3) Exhibits

- 3.1 Third Restated Articles of Incorporation of Ashland (filed as Exhibit 3 to Ashland's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 3.2 By-laws of Ashland, effective as of November 15, 2002 (filed as Exhibit 3.2 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.1 Ashland agrees to provide the SEC, upon request, copies of instruments defining the rights of holders of long-term debt of Ashland and all of its subsidiaries for which consolidated or unconsolidated financial statements are required to be filed with the SEC.
- 4.2 Indenture, dated as of August 15, 1989, as amended and restated as of August 15, 1990, between Ashland and Citibank, N.A., as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.2 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.3 Indenture, dated as of September 7, 2001, between Ashland and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (filed as Exhibit 4.3 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 4.4 Rights Agreement, dated as of May 16, 1996, between Ashland Inc. and the Rights Agent, together with Form of Right Certificate (filed as Exhibit 4.4 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2001 and incorporated herein by reference).

The following Exhibits 10.1 through 10.12 are compensatory plans or arrangements or management contracts required to be filed as exhibits pursuant to Item 601(b)(10)(ii)(A) of Regulation S-K.

- 10.1 Amended Stock Incentive Plan for Key Employees of Ashland Inc. and its Subsidiaries (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.2 Ashland Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (filed as Exhibit 10.2 to Ashland's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.3 Ashland Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.1 to Ashland's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.4 Eleventh Amended and Restated Ashland Inc. Supplemental Early Retirement Plan for Certain Employees (filed as Exhibit 10.3 to Ashland's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.5 Ashland Inc. Salary Continuation Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.5 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.6 Form of Ashland Inc. Executive Employment Contract between Ashland Inc. and certain executives of Ashland (filed as Exhibit 10.6 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.7 Form of Indemnification Agreement between Ashland Inc. and members of its Board of Directors.
- 10.8 Ashland Inc. Nonqualified Excess Benefit Pension Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.4 to Ashland's Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2003 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.9 Ashland Inc. Directors' Charitable Award Program (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.10 Ashland Inc. 1993 Stock Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.11 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2000 and incorporated herein by reference).

- 10.11 Ashland Inc. 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.14 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.12 Amended and Restated Ashland Inc. Incentive Plan (filed as Exhibit 10.15 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2002 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.13 Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC dated as of December 31, 1998 (filed as Exhibit 10.17 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 10.14 Put/Call, Registration Rights and Standstill Agreement as amended to December 31, 1998 among Marathon Oil Company, USX Corporation, Ashland Inc. and Marathon Ashland Petroleum (filed as Exhibit 10.18 to Ashland's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 1999 and incorporated herein by reference).
- 11 Computation of Earnings Per Share (appearing on page F-9 of this annual report on Form 10-K).
- 12 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 21 List of subsidiaries.
- 23.1 Consent of independent auditors.
- 24 Power of Attorney, including resolutions of the Board of Directors.
- 31.1 Certificate of James J. O'Brien, Chief Executive Officer of Ashland, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certificate of J. Marvin Quin, Chief Financial Officer of Ashland, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certificate of James J. O'Brien, Chief Executive Officer of Ashland, and J. Marvin Quin, Chief Financial Officer of Ashland, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Upon written or oral request, a copy of the above exhibits will be furnished at cost.

(B) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

During the quarter ended September 30, 2003, and between such date and the filing of this annual report on Form 10-K, Ashland filed or furnished the following reports on Form 8-K.

- (1) A report on Form 8-K dated July 18, 2003 reporting the filing of a lawsuit by a third party seeking, among other remedies, a preliminary and permanent injunction preventing the consummation of the proposed sale of the net assets of Ashland's Electronic Chemicals business and certain related subsidiaries.
- (2) A report on Form 8-K dated July 22, 2003 reporting Ashland's third quarter results.
- (3) A report on Form 8-K dated July 23, 2003 containing a Regulation FD disclosure.
- (4) A report on Form 8-K dated August 20, 2003 reporting that Ashland had signed a definitive agreement to sell the net assets of its Electronic Chemicals business group to Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
- (5) A report on Form 8-K dated August 27, 2003 containing a Regulation FD disclosure.
- (6) A report on Form 8-K dated August 29, 2003 reporting that Ashland had completed the sale of its Electronic Chemicals business group to Air Products and Chemicals, Inc.
- (7) A report on Form 8-K dated October 1, 2003 containing a Regulation FD disclosure.
- (8) A report on Form 8-K dated October 21, 2003 reporting Ashland's fourth quarter and fiscal 2003 results.
- (9) A report on Form 8-K dated October 23, 2003 containing a Regulation FD disclosure.
- (10) A report on Form 8-K dated November 26, 2003, as amended by a Form 8-K/A dated November 26, 2003, containing a Regulation FD

SIGNATURES

PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934, THE REGISTRANT HAS DULY CAUSED THIS REPORT TO BE SIGNED ON ITS BEHALF BY THE UNDERSIGNED, THEREUNTO DULY AUTHORIZED.

ASHLAND INC.
(Registrant)
By:

/s/ J. Marvin Quin

J. Marvin Quin
Senior Vice President and Chief
Financial Officer

Date: December 1, 2003

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant, in the capacities indicated, on December 1, 2003.

SIGNATURES

CAPACITY

/S/ JAMES J. O'BRIEN

Chairman of the Board, Chief Executive Officer
and Director

JAMES J. O'BRIEN

/S/ J. MARVIN QUIN

Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

J. MARVIN QUIN

/S/ KENNETH L. AULEN

Administrative Vice President, Controller and
Principal Accounting Officer

KENNETH L. AULEN

*

Director

ERNEST H. DREW

*

Director

ROGER W. HALE

*

Director

BERNADINE P. HEALY

*

Director

MANNIE L. JACKSON

*

Director

PATRICK F. NOONAN

*

Director

JANE C. PFEIFFER

*

Director

WILLIAM L. ROUSE, JR.

*

Director

GEORGE A. SCHAEFER, JR.

*

Director

THEODORE M. SOLSO

*

Director

MICHAEL J. WARD

*By: /s/ David L. Hausrath

David L. Hausrath
Attorney-in-Fact

Date: December 1, 2003

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table shows revenues, operating income and operating information by industry segment for each of the last three years ended September 30.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
SALES AND OPERATING REVENUES			
APAC	\$ 2,400	\$ 2,652	\$ 2,624
Ashland Distribution	2,804	2,535	2,849
Ashland Specialty Chemical	1,170	1,094	1,055
Valvoline	1,235	1,152	1,092
Intersegment sales	(91)	(85)	(92)
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 7,518	\$ 7,348	\$ 7,528
	=====	=====	=====
OPERATING INCOME			
APAC	\$ (42)	\$ 122	\$ 55
Ashland Distribution	32	1	35
Ashland Specialty Chemical	31	70	38
Valvoline	87	77	81
Refining and Marketing (1)	263	143	707
Corporate	(105)	(92)	(85)
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 266	\$ 321	\$ 831
	=====	=====	=====
OPERATING INFORMATION			
APAC			
Construction backlog at September 30 (millions) (2)	\$ 1,745	\$ 1,691	\$ 1,629
Hot-mix asphalt production (million tons)	32.5	36.7	36.7
Aggregate production (million tons)	28.7	31.0	28.7
Ready-mix concrete production (million cubic yards)	2.0	2.1	2.3
Ashland Distribution (3)			
Sales per shipping day (millions)	\$ 11.1	\$ 10.1	\$ 11.2
Gross profit as a percent of sales	15.3%	16.1%	15.9%
Ashland Specialty Chemical (3)			
Sales per shipping day (millions)	\$ 4.6	\$ 4.3	\$ 4.2
Gross profit as a percent of sales	33.7%	37.0%	34.1%
Valvoline			
Lubricant sales (million gallons)	193.5	199.0	187.4
Premium lubricants (percent of U.S. branded volumes)	18.5%	16.1%	11.7%
Refining and Marketing (4)			
Refinery runs (thousand barrels per day)			
Crude oil refined	900	930	912
Other charge and blend stocks	133	151	139
Refined product yields (thousand barrels per day)			
Gasoline	554	594	560
Distillates	278	293	279
Asphalt	71	73	75
Other	131	127	136
	-----	-----	-----
Total	1,034	1,087	1,050
Refined product sales (thousand barrels per day) (5)	1,345	1,321	1,302
Refining and wholesale marketing margin (per barrel) (6)	\$ 2.59	\$ 1.82	\$ 5.17
Speedway SuperAmerica (SSA)			
Retail outlets at September 30	1,791	2,063	2,145
Gasoline and distillate sales (million gallons)	3,423	3,622	3,587
Gross margin - gasoline and distillates (per gallon)	\$.1191	\$.1040	\$.1218
Merchandise sales (millions) (7)	\$ 2,281	\$ 2,381	\$ 2,186
Merchandise margin (as a percent of sales)	24.5%	24.2%	23.3%

- (1) Includes Ashland's equity income from Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC (MAP), amortization related to Ashland's excess investment in MAP, and other activities associated with refining and marketing.
- (2) Includes APAC's proportionate share of the backlog of unconsolidated joint ventures.
- (3) Sales are defined as sales and operating revenues. Gross profit is defined as sales and operating revenues, less cost of sales and operating expenses, and depreciation and amortization relative to manufacturing assets.
- (4) Amounts represent 100% of MAP's operations, in which Ashland owns a 38% interest.
- (5) Total average daily volume of all refined product sales to MAP's wholesale, branded and retail (SSA) customers.
- (6) Sales revenue less cost of refinery inputs, purchased products and manufacturing expenses, including depreciation.
- (7) Effective January 1, 2003, SSA adopted EITF 02-16, "Accounting by a Customer (Including a Reseller) for Certain Consideration Received from a Vendor," which requires rebates from vendors to be recorded as reductions to cost of sales. Rebates from vendors

recorded in SSA merchandise sales for periods prior to January 1, 2003 have not been restated and included \$46 million in 2003, \$170 million in 2002 and \$128 million in 2001.

M-1

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Ashland's net income amounted to \$75 million in 2003, \$117 million in 2002 and \$417 million in 2001. Income from continuing operations (which excludes discontinued operations and the cumulative effect of accounting changes) amounted to \$94 million in 2003, \$115 million in 2002 and \$390 million in 2001. As discussed in Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Ashland adopted certain pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) during the last three years that have resulted in changes in Ashland's accounting methods. One of those changes was expensing of employee stock options in accordance with FASB Statement No. 123 (FAS 123), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and its related amendments as of October 1, 2002. A second change involved goodwill no longer being amortized under the provisions of FASB Statement No. 142 (FAS 142), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets," as of October 1, 2001. Although Ashland also adopted FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46) on July 1, 2003, FIN 46 did not have any significant effect on Ashland's income from continuing operations.

The following table compares Ashland's reported results for the three years ended September 30, 2003, with pro forma financial information assuming that these changes in accounting methods occurred on October 1, 2000. Pro forma expense under FAS 123 would have amounted to \$7 million in 2002 and \$5 million in 2001. Ashland's segments also recognized goodwill amortization of \$41 million in 2001 (\$25 million for APAC, \$7 million for Ashland Distribution, \$8 million for Ashland Specialty Chemical and \$1 million for Valvoline). In addition, part of Ashland's excess investment in Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC (MAP) was accounted for as goodwill and was being amortized at a rate of \$10 million a year prior to the adoption of FAS 142.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
<hr/>			
OPERATING INCOME			
As reported	\$ 266	\$ 321	\$ 831
Pro forma	266	314	877
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS			
As reported	94	115	390
Pro forma	94	111	432

APAC

During 2003, the APAC construction companies reported an operating loss of \$42 million, compared to income of \$122 million in 2002. In many of the states in which APAC operates, rainfall during 2003 was among the highest levels on record in the past 109 years as measured by the National Climatic Data Center. In addition to hampering the overall level of construction activity, the weather conditions resulted in significant levels of rework and created significant inefficiencies in completing the construction work that APAC performed. Earnings from construction jobs were down significantly, reflecting an 11% decrease in net construction revenues (total construction revenues less subcontract costs) and a related increase in overhead costs that were not allocated to individual jobs. As a result of weather-related cost increases and construction delays, APAC established reserves for job losses on several projects, including \$14 million related to a large highway construction project in Virginia. Margins of the asphalt plants were also down due to an 11% decrease in production and significantly higher costs for liquid asphalt and fuel. In addition, APAC recognized an impairment charge of \$9 million associated with non-strategic businesses identified for sale. Costs associated with Project PASS, APAC's process redesign initiative, amounted to \$20 million in 2003, compared to \$17 million in 2002.

APAC generated operating income of \$122 million in 2002, compared to \$55 million in 2001. The improvement reflected the net effects of better operating results, the change in accounting for goodwill, costs associated with APAC's business process redesign initiative, and a non-recurring charge of \$18 million in 2001 to correct previously reported earnings of the Manassas, Virginia division. Results from construction jobs and the asphalt plants improved, reflecting better margins. These margin improvements resulted from more efficient production and favorable weather conditions, lower costs for liquid asphalt, fuel and power, as well as most of the low-margin work obtained in acquisitions being completed in 2001. Goodwill amortization amounted to \$25 million in 2001, but the expense reduction from eliminating that amortization was largely offset by costs of \$17 million in 2002 associated with the process redesign initiative.

During an internal investigation of financial activities at APAC's Manassas division in the March 2001 quarter, it was discovered that the division's earnings had been intentionally overstated by \$18 million. That overstatement was corrected in 2001 and local management of the division was replaced. Independent investigations confirmed that the problems related primarily to the improper recognition of revenues and failure to

recognize certain costs over a period of about two years. No evidence of any impact on, or involvement by, outside parties, customers or suppliers was discovered.

ASHLAND DISTRIBUTION

Operating income from Ashland Distribution amounted to \$32 million in 2003, compared to \$1 million in 2002. Overall sales were up 11% (of which 5% came from higher volumes) despite a continuing sluggish industrial production environment, which more than offset the effects of a reduction in margins resulting from cost increases for petroleum-based raw materials. Reported results for 2003 included \$6 million of gains from property sales and litigation settlements, as well as a charge of \$5 million for staff reductions under Ashland's Top-Quartile Cost Structure (TQCS) program. As discussed below, results of Ashland Distribution for 2002 included income of \$7 million from the settlement of the sorbate antitrust litigation.

Ashland Distribution's operating income amounted to \$1 million in 2002, compared to \$35 million in 2001. Overall sales were off 11%, reflecting weak markets and internal execution problems related to the implementation of an enterprise resource planning system. Ashland Distribution is the most sensitive of all of Ashland's businesses to industrial output, which remained soft in comparison to prior years. However, sales in the September 2002 quarter exceeded the amount for that period in 2001, and were also up 13% from the low point experienced in the December 2001 quarter. Reported results for 2002 included income of \$7 million from the settlement of the sorbate class action antitrust suit, compared to income of \$11 million from a similar class action involving citric acid in 2001. Results for 2001 also included charges of \$7 million for goodwill amortization and write-offs prior to the change in accounting.

ASHLAND SPECIALTY CHEMICAL

Operating income from Ashland Specialty Chemical amounted to \$31 million in 2003, compared to \$70 million in 2002. Although overall sales were up 7%, the individual businesses reported mixed results. Earnings from most of the core thermoset businesses (Composite Polymers, Specialty Polymers & Adhesives and Maleic) were down, reflecting raw material cost increases that were not completely recovered in the marketplace. However, results from Castings Solutions and Drew Industrial were up reflecting sales increases of 10% and 8%, combined with more stable margins. In spite of higher sales, operating income from Drew Marine was down largely due to the effects of the weakening U.S. dollar on margins. The sales of Drew Marine are principally in U.S. dollars, while most of its costs are denominated in foreign currencies. In addition, the earnings of Ashland Specialty Chemical for 2003 included an impairment charge of \$10 million for a maleic anhydride production facility, as well as a charge of \$5 million for staff reductions under Ashland's TQCS program.

Ashland Specialty Chemical's operating income increased to \$70 million in 2002, a significant increase from its recession-weakened results of \$38 million in 2001. Despite softness in unit volumes, Ashland Specialty Chemical achieved steady improvement throughout 2002. Results improved from performance materials (unsaturated polyester resins, foundry chemicals and adhesives) and water treatment chemicals and services. Results of Ashland Specialty Chemical for 2001 included a charge of \$8 million for goodwill amortization and write-downs prior to the change in accounting.

VALVOLINE

Operating income from Valvoline amounted to a record \$87 million in 2003, compared to \$77 million in 2002. Branded lubricant volume was up slightly, but the mix improved considerably with higher margin premium lubricants (MaxLife, Durablend and SynPower) accounting for 18.5% of the total in 2003, compared to 16.1% in 2002. Significant improvements were also achieved from Valvoline International, Valvoline Instant Oil Change (VIOC) and automotive system fluids. Valvoline International had better volumes and margins in Europe and Australia, and their improved operating results were further enhanced by strengthening foreign currency translation rates. VIOC reported its second consecutive year of record earnings, reflecting a growing number of oil changes using premium lubricants and increased revenues from transmission, cooling, fuel and air quality system services. Valvoline also sold its remaining inventory of R-12 refrigerant at a small profit.

At September 30, 2003, VIOC operated 357 company-owned service centers, compared to 363 centers in 2002 and 364 centers in 2001. The VIOC franchising program continues to expand, with 372 centers open at September 30, 2003, compared to 335 centers in 2002 and 311 centers in 2001. VIOC's future growth will continue to focus principally on expanding the number of franchised rather than company-owned centers.

Valvoline's operating income was \$77 million in 2002, compared to \$81 million in 2001. The decline was attributable entirely to lower sales of R-12 automotive refrigerant that contributed essentially no gross profit to 2002 results, compared to \$13 million in 2001. However, strong results from core lubricants, automotive chemicals and Valvoline International, as well as a record year from VIOC in 2002, largely offset the reduced earnings from sales of R-12. Lubricant volumes were up 6% and sales of premium lubricants continued to grow. Increasing numbers of premium oil changes also contributed to VIOC's record year. Earnings from automotive chemicals

and Valvoline International both recovered strongly from their weakened levels in 2001.

REFINING AND MARKETING

Operating income from Refining and Marketing, which consists primarily of equity income from Ashland's 38% ownership interest in MAP, amounted to \$263 million in 2003, compared to \$143 million in 2002. Equity income from MAP's refining and wholesale marketing operations was up \$92 million, principally reflecting an increase of 77 cents a barrel in their refining and wholesale marketing margin and higher operating expenses. Equity income from MAP's retail operations (Speedway SuperAmerica and a 50% interest in the Pilot Travel Centers joint venture) increased by \$20 million, reflecting a gain of \$8 million on the sale of SSA's southern stores and higher product and merchandise margins for PTC.

Operating income from Refining and Marketing was \$143 million in 2002, down from a record \$707 million in 2001. Equity income from MAP's refining and wholesale marketing operations was down \$585 million due principally to weak refining margins. The reduction of \$3.35 a barrel in MAP's refining and wholesale marketing margin resulted from an industry-wide decline in demand for petroleum products and a narrow differential between sweet and sour crude oil prices. Sour crude oils typically account for about 60% of MAP's crude oil slate. Equity income from MAP's retail operations improved slightly, reflecting the net effects of higher sales volumes of products and merchandise, improved merchandise margins and lower product margins. Equity income from MAP for 2001 also included a charge of \$10 million for goodwill amortization.

CORPORATE

Corporate expenses were \$105 million in 2003, \$92 million in 2002 and \$85 million in 2001. The increase in such expenses in 2002 compared to 2001 resulted from additional reserves for environmental, litigation and severance costs, which more than offset a reduction in incentive and deferred compensation costs. The increase in 2003 compared to 2002 includes severance and other transition costs of \$19 million related to Ashland's TQCS and other cost reduction programs, increased incentive and deferred compensation costs and \$6 million related to the expensing of employee stock options. Those increases were partially offset by lower ongoing administrative costs in 2003, as well as the additional reserves that were included in 2002 costs.

NET INTEREST AND OTHER FINANCIAL COSTS

The following table summarizes the components of net interest and other financial costs.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
NET INTEREST AND OTHER FINANCIAL COSTS			
Interest expense	\$ 123	\$ 135	\$ 162
Expenses on sales of accounts receivable	3	4	8
Loss on early retirement of debt	-	-	5
Other financial costs	3	3	2
Interest income	(1)	(4)	(2)
	<u>\$ 128</u>	<u>\$ 138</u>	<u>\$ 175</u>
	=====	=====	=====

Ashland's long-term debt declined from \$2.0 billion at October 1, 2000 to \$1.6 billion at the end of fiscal 2003, which accounted for a reduction in interest expense of \$17 million in 2002 and an additional \$12 million in 2003. Lower interest rates on short-term borrowings also brought interest expense down from prior year levels by \$11 million in 2002. Expenses on sales of accounts receivable also reflect declining interest rates since that program was implemented.

INCOME TAXES

Ashland's overall effective income tax rate declined from 37.2% in 2002 to 31.9% in 2003. Recurring nontaxable income, such as equity income from foreign operations, had a larger effect on the effective rate in 2003 due to the reduced level of earnings. In addition, the changed investment climate resulted in nontaxable income being realized under life insurance policies during 2003, compared to 2002 when nondeductible losses were incurred. These life insurance policies are the underlying investments behind Ashland's deferred compensation programs.

Ashland's overall effective income tax rate amounted to 37.2% in 2002, compared to 40.5% in 2001. The reduction resulted principally from the change in accounting for goodwill, reduced state income taxes and a lower tax rate on foreign results. The accounting change eliminated the amortization for financial reporting purposes, and most of that amortization was not deductible for income tax purposes. In addition, state income tax rates actually experienced were lower than those previously assumed in the deferred tax calculations. Those reductions resulted

from changing apportionment factors related to MAP's earnings and the use of tax loss carryforwards in various jurisdictions that had not been recognized in prior years due to uncertainties as to their ultimate realization.

DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS AND ACCOUNTING CHANGES

Results of Ashland's discontinued operations are summarized below. See Note N of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001

INCOME (LOSS) FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (NET OF TAX)			
Reserves for asbestos-related litigation (net of insurance recoveries)	\$ (109)	\$ -	\$ (14)
Electronic Chemicals			
Results of operations	14	13	13
Gain on sale of operations	81	-	-
Gain on sale of investment in Arch Coal	-	-	33
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ (14)	\$ 13	\$ 32
	=====	=====	=====

Ashland is subject to liabilities from claims alleging personal injury caused by exposure to asbestos. Virtually all of those liabilities result from indemnification obligations undertaken in connection with the sale of Riley Stoker Corporation, a former subsidiary. During 2003, Ashland increased its reserve for asbestos claims to cover litigation defense and claim settlement costs expected to be paid during the next ten years. Because insurance provides reimbursements for most of the costs and coverage-in-place agreements exist with the insurance companies that provide substantially all of the coverage currently being accessed, the increase in the asbestos reserve was offset in part by probable insurance recoveries. A reserve associated with asbestos-related liabilities was also recognized in 2001.

During 2003, Ashland sold the net assets of its Electronic Chemicals business and certain related subsidiaries for \$300 million. Due to the sale, the results of operations of those businesses, as well as the gain on the sale, were shown in discontinued operations.

Ashland spun-off the majority of its shares of Arch Coal common stock to Ashland's shareholders in 2000. Ashland subsequently sold its remaining Arch Coal shares in a public offering in February 2001.

As discussed in Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements, Ashland adopted certain pronouncements of the FASB during the last three years. As of July 1, 2003, Ashland consolidated a lessor entity in its financial statements under FIN 46, and doing so resulted in an after-tax charge of \$5 million to adjust the depreciation included in the cumulative lease payments to conform to Ashland's depreciation methods. Ashland also adopted FAS 142 in 2002 and recognized an impairment loss of \$11 million after income taxes to write off the goodwill of Ashland Distribution. In addition, the cumulative effect of the change in the method of accounting for derivatives by MAP under FAS 133 resulted in an after-tax charge to Ashland of \$5 million in 2001.

FINANCIAL POSITION

LIQUIDITY

Cash flows from operations, a major source of Ashland's liquidity, amounted to \$242 million in 2003, \$163 million in 2002 and \$814 million in 2001. Such amounts include cash distributions from MAP of \$197 million in 2003, \$196 million in 2002 and \$658 million in 2001. MAP operates on a calendar year basis and is organized as a limited liability company that has elected to be taxed as a partnership. As a result, Ashland pays income taxes on most of its share of the taxable earnings reported by MAP in the following year, creating additional variability in Ashland's cash flows from year to year. Income taxes paid by Ashland related to MAP's earnings amounted to \$4 million in 2003, \$239 million in 2002 and \$157 million in 2001. Over the last three years, cash flows from operations have exceeded Ashland's capital requirements for net property additions and dividends by about \$550 million, providing additional funds for debt reductions, stock purchases and acquisitions.

Ashland's financial position has enabled it to obtain capital for its financing needs and to maintain investment grade ratings on its senior debt of Baa2 from Moody's and BBB from Standard & Poor's (S&P). In August 2003, S&P revised its outlook on Ashland to negative from stable, and lowered Ashland's commercial paper rating to A-3 from A-2. This action materially restricts, and could at times eliminate, the availability of the commercial paper market to Ashland. Ashland has two revolving credit agreements providing for up to \$350 million in borrowings. Although Ashland borrowed \$175 million under these agreements to repay commercial paper shortly after

the S&P downgrade, the revolving credit agreements were not in use at September 30, 2003. While the revolving credit

agreements contain covenants limiting new borrowings based on Ashland's stockholders' equity, these agreements would have permitted an additional \$1.7 billion of borrowings at September 30, 2003. Additional permissible borrowings are increased (decreased) by 150% of any increase (decrease) in stockholders' equity.

At September 30, 2003, working capital (excluding debt due within one year) amounted to \$703 million. Although the comparable amount at the end of 2002 was \$752 million, that amount included net assets of \$172 million of the discontinued Electronic Chemical operations held for sale. Ashland's working capital is affected by its use of the LIFO method of inventory valuation. That method valued inventories below their replacement costs by \$78 million at September 30, 2003, and \$61 million at September 30, 2002. Liquid assets (cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable) amounted to 92% of current liabilities at September 30, 2003, compared to 75% at the end of 2002. Most of the improvement resulted from the combination of an increase of \$112 million in cash equivalents and a reduction of \$99 million in debt due within one year.

CAPITAL RESOURCES

Property additions amounted to \$470 million during the last three years and are summarized in the Information by Industry Segment on page F-25. For that period, APAC accounted for 52% of Ashland's capital expenditures, while Ashland Specialty Chemical accounted for an additional 21%. Capital used for acquisitions (including assumed debt) amounted to \$113 million during the last three years, of which \$46 million was invested in APAC, \$64 million in Ashland Specialty Chemical and \$3 million in Valvoline. A summary of the capital employed in Ashland's operations follows. The reduction in capital employed in Ashland Specialty Chemical in 2003 resulted principally from the sale of the Electronic Chemicals business.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
CAPITAL EMPLOYED			
APAC	\$ 1,014	\$ 1,039	\$ 1,047
Ashland Distribution	418	459	470
Ashland Specialty Chemical	438	610	612
Valvoline	399	343	389
Refining and Marketing	1,866	1,818	1,654

Long-term borrowings provided cash flows of \$107 million during the last three years, the proceeds from which were used in part to retire \$525 million of long-term debt. Debt retirements included scheduled maturities, as well as prepayments or refundings to reduce interest costs. Cash flows were supplemented as necessary by the issuance of short-term notes, commercial paper and borrowings under the revolving credit agreements.

During 2003, Ashland reduced its total debt by \$193 million to \$1.6 billion principally by using the proceeds from the sale of the Electronic Chemicals business to retire debt. Stockholders' equity increased by \$80 million during 2003 to \$2.3 billion. Although Ashland's net income of \$75 million for 2003 was equivalent to its cash dividends, translation gains associated with foreign operations and a reduction in the minimum pension liability resulted in an increase in reported stockholders' equity. Debt as a percent of capital employed was reduced from 45.4% at the end of 2002 to 41.7% at September 30, 2003.

At September 30, 2003, Ashland's long-term debt included \$69 million of floating-rate obligations, and the interest rates on an additional \$153 million of fixed-rate, medium-term notes were effectively converted to floating rates through interest rate swap agreements. In addition, Ashland's costs under its sale of receivables program and various operating leases are based on the floating-rate interest costs on \$203 million of third-party debt underlying those transactions. As a result, Ashland was exposed to short-term interest rate fluctuations on \$425 million of debt obligations at September 30, 2003.

During 2004, Ashland expects capital expenditures of approximately \$175 million, compared to \$110 million in 2003, with most of the increase committed to APAC and Ashland Specialty Chemical. Improvements in APAC's equipment management process allowed for a temporary reduction in capital expenditures in 2003, while Ashland Specialty Chemical plans additional investments in international capacity and environmental projects in 2004. Ashland anticipates meeting its capital requirements during 2004 for property additions, dividends and scheduled debt repayments of \$102 million from internally generated funds. However, external financing may be necessary to provide funds for acquisitions or other corporate purposes.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

Ashland and its subsidiaries are lessees of office buildings, retail outlets, transportation and off-road construction equipment, warehouses and storage facilities, and other equipment, facilities and properties under leasing agreements that expire at various dates. At September 30, 2003, minimum rental payments under these operating leases amount to \$258 million in the aggregate, including \$47 million in 2004, \$39 million in 2005, \$33 million in 2006, \$26 million in 2007, \$22 million in 2008 and \$91 million thereafter.

Under various operating leases, Ashland has guaranteed the residual value of the underlying property. If Ashland had canceled those leases at September 30, 2003, its maximum obligations under the residual value guarantees would have amounted to \$102 million. Ashland does not expect to incur any significant charge to earnings under these guarantees, \$46 million of which relates to real estate. These lease agreements are with unrelated third party lessors and Ashland has no additional contractual or other commitments to any parties to the leases.

Ashland has also guaranteed 38% of MAP's payments for certain crude oil purchases, up to a maximum guarantee of \$95 million. At September 30, 2003, Ashland's contingent liability under this guarantee amounted to \$60 million. Ashland has not made and does not expect to make any payments under this guarantee.

During 2000, Ashland entered into a five-year agreement to sell, on an ongoing basis with limited recourse, up to a \$200 million undivided interest in a designated pool of accounts receivable. Under the terms of the agreement, new receivables are added to the pool and collections reduce the pool. Since inception, interests totaling \$150 million have been sold on a continuous basis, except for a period between April 29 and September 7, 2003, when the full \$200 million capacity was utilized. Ashland retains a credit interest in these receivables and addresses its risk of loss on this retained interest in its allowance for doubtful accounts. Receivables sold exclude defaulted accounts or concentrations over certain limits with any one customer.

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of Ashland's consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Significant items that are subject to such estimates and assumptions include long-lived assets, employee benefit obligations, reserves and associated receivables for asbestos litigation and environmental remediation, and income recognized under construction contracts. Although management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates under other assumptions or conditions. Management has reviewed the estimates affecting these items with the Audit Committee of Ashland's Board of Directors.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS

The cost of plant and equipment is depreciated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Useful lives are based on historical experience and are adjusted when changes in planned use, technological advances or other factors show that a different life would be more appropriate. Such costs are periodically reviewed for recoverability when impairment indicators are present. Such indicators include, among other factors, operating losses, unused capacity, market value declines and technological obsolescence. Recorded values of property, plant and equipment that are not expected to be recovered through undiscounted future net cash flows are written down to current fair value, which is generally determined from estimated discounted future net cash flows (assets held for use) or net realizable value (assets held for sale). During 2003, Ashland recognized an impairment charge of \$10 million for a maleic anhydride production facility that is shutdown and not likely to reopen based on internal analyses. Although circumstances can change considerably over time, Ashland is not aware of any impairment indicators that would necessitate periodic reviews on any significant asset within property, plant and equipment at September 30, 2003.

Intangible assets with indefinite lives are subject to annual impairment tests. Such tests are completed separately with respect to the goodwill of each of Ashland's reporting units, which are generally synonymous with its industry segments. However, the individual operating divisions of Ashland Specialty Chemical are also considered reporting units under FAS 142. Since market prices of Ashland's reporting units are not readily available, management makes various estimates and assumptions in determining the estimated fair values of those units. Fair values are based principally on EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization) multiples of peer group companies for each of these reporting units. During 2003, Ashland recognized an impairment charge of \$9 million for goodwill associated with non-strategic businesses of APAC identified

for sale. The most recent annual impairment tests indicated that the fair values of each of Ashland's reporting units with significant goodwill were in

excess of their carrying values by at least 20%. Despite that excess, however, impairment charges could still be required if a divestiture decision were made with respect to a particular business included in one of the reporting units.

EMPLOYEE BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS

Ashland and its subsidiaries sponsor noncontributory pension plans that cover substantially all employees, as well as healthcare and life insurance plans for eligible employees who retire or are disabled. Ashland's retiree life insurance plans are noncontributory, while Ashland shares the costs of providing healthcare coverage with its retired employees through premiums, deductibles and coinsurance provisions.

The principal assumptions used to determine Ashland's pension and other postretirement benefit costs are the discount rate, the salary adjustment rate and the expected return on plan assets. Nearly all of Ashland's retiree healthcare plans contain a cap that limits Ashland's contributions to base year (1992) per capita costs, plus annual increases of up to 4.5% per year (1.5% a year effective January 1, 2004). Since medical inflation is expected to continue at a rate in excess of these caps for the immediate future, no explicit assumption was required as to the expected rate of future medical inflation.

The discount rates used to determine the present value of future pension payments, healthcare costs and life insurance benefits are based on the yields on high-quality, fixed-income investments (such as Moody's Aa-rated corporate bonds), as adjusted for the longer duration of Ashland's pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. The present values of Ashland's future pension and other postretirement obligations were determined using discount rates of 6.25% at September 30, 2003, and 6.75% at September 30, 2002. Ashland's costs under these plans are determined using the discount rate as of the beginning of the fiscal year, which amounted to 6.75% for 2003, 7.25% for 2002, 7.75% for 2001, and will be 6.25% for 2004.

The salary adjustment rate and the expected return on plan assets were assumed to be 5% and 9% in determining Ashland's costs for each of the last three years, and those factors will be reduced slightly to 4.5% and 8.5% in determining Ashland's costs for 2004. The salary assumption has been indicative of actual results for the last few years, but the compounded return of 1.1% on plan assets for the last three years has been subject to wide year to year variances. For 2003, the pension plan assets generated income of 19.1%, compared to losses of 6.7% in 2002 and 7.1% in 2001. However, the expected return on plan assets is designed to be a long-term assumption that will be subject to considerable year-to-year variances from actual returns. Ashland has generated compounded annual investment returns of 4.8% and 7.8% on its pension plan assets over the last five-year and ten-year periods. Although those returns are both below the long-term assumption, they were measured with the ending point amidst a partial recovery from a two-year period of declining stock prices that accompanied depressed economic conditions. For the five-year and ten-year periods that ended in September 2000 prior to this adverse investment climate, the compounded annual investment returns on Ashland's pension plan assets were 11.4% and 12.4%.

Shown below are the estimated increases in pension and other postretirement costs that would have resulted from a 1% change in the principal assumptions for each of the last three years.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001

INCREASE IN PENSIONS COSTS FROM			
Decrease in the discount rate	\$ 20	\$ 21	\$ 15
Increase in the salary adjustment rate	9	10	6
Decrease in the expected return on plan assets	6	5	5
INCREASE IN OTHER POSTRETIREMENT COSTS FROM			
Decrease in the discount rate	2	4	3

ASBESTOS-RELATED LITIGATION

Ashland is subject to liabilities from claims alleging personal injury caused by exposure to asbestos. Virtually all of those liabilities result from indemnification obligations undertaken in 1990 in connection with the sale of Riley Stoker Corporation (Riley), a former subsidiary. Ashland's reserve for asbestos claims covers the litigation defense and claim settlement costs expected to be paid during the next ten years on an undiscounted basis and amounted to \$610 million at September 30, 2003.

Projecting future asbestos costs is subject to numerous variables that are extremely difficult to predict. In addition to the significant uncertainties surrounding the number of claims that might be received, other variables include the type and severity of the disease alleged by each claimant, the long latency period associated with asbestos exposure, dismissal rates, costs of medical treatment, the impact of bankruptcies of other companies that are co-defendants in claims, uncertainties surrounding

to case, and the impact of potential changes in legislative or judicial standards. Furthermore, any predictions with respect to these variables are subject to even greater uncertainty as the projection period lengthens. In light of these inherent uncertainties, Ashland believes that ten years is the most reasonable period for recognizing a reserve for future costs, and that costs that might be incurred after that period are not reasonably estimable.

Insurance provides reimbursements for most of the litigation defense and claim settlement costs incurred, and coverage-in-place agreements exist with the insurance companies that provide substantially all of the coverage currently being accessed. As a result, increases in the asbestos reserve are expected to be offset in part by probable insurance recoveries. The amounts not recoverable are generally due from insurers that are insolvent, rather than as a result of uninsured claims or the exhaustion of Ashland's insurance coverage. At September 30, 2003, Ashland's receivable for recoveries of such costs from its insurers amounted to \$429 million, of which \$26 million relates to costs previously paid. About 35% of the estimated receivables from insurance companies are expected to be due from Equitas Limited (Equitas) and other London companies. Of the remainder, over 90% is expected to come from companies or groups that are rated A or higher by A. M. Best.

Although coverage limits are resolved in the coverage-in-place agreement with Equitas and the other London companies, there is a disagreement with these companies over the timing of recoveries. The resolution of this disagreement could have a material effect on the value of insurance recoveries from those companies. In estimating the value of future recoveries at September 30, 2003, Ashland used the least favorable interpretation of this agreement and will continue to do so until such time as the disagreement is resolved.

ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION

Ashland is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations that require environmental assessment or remediation efforts (collectively environmental remediation) at multiple locations. At September 30, 2003, such locations included 100 waste treatment or disposal sites where Ashland has been identified as a potentially responsible party under Superfund or similar state laws, approximately 135 current and former operating facilities (including certain facilities conveyed to MAP) and about 1,220 service station properties. Ashland's reserves for environmental remediation amounted to \$174 million at September 30, 2003, and reflect its estimates of the most likely costs that will be incurred over an extended period to remediate identified conditions for which the costs are reasonably estimable, without regard to any third-party recoveries. Engineering studies, probability techniques, historical experience and other factors are used to identify and evaluate remediation alternatives and their related costs in determining the estimated reserves for environmental remediation.

Environmental remediation reserves are subject to numerous inherent uncertainties that affect Ashland's ability to estimate its share of the costs. Such uncertainties involve the nature and extent of contamination at each site, the extent of required cleanup efforts under existing environmental regulations, widely varying costs of alternate cleanup methods, changes in environmental regulations, the potential effect of continuing improvements in remediation technology, and the number and financial strength of other potentially responsible parties at multiparty sites. Ashland regularly adjusts its reserves as environmental remediation continues.

No individual remediation location is material to Ashland as its largest reserve for any site is less than 10% of the remediation reserve. As a result, Ashland's exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material, and these sites are in various stages of ongoing remediation. Although environmental remediation could have a material effect on results of operations if a series of adverse developments occurs in a particular quarter or fiscal year, Ashland believes that the chance of such developments occurring in the same quarter or fiscal year is remote.

CONSTRUCTION CONTRACTS

Income related to construction contracts is generally recognized by the units-of-production method, which is a variation of the percentage-of-completion method. Construction jobs by their very nature are subject to numerous risks that could create variances from expectations. Such risks include changes in raw material and other costs, adverse weather conditions and the performance of subcontractors and other entities. Income is only certain after a job is completed, and the extent of completion can be difficult to assess in certain circumstances.

The extent of completion for each production phase is determined by reference to material quantities, labor hours, subcontract costs or other factors that are believed to be most indicative of the progress made under each phase of a project. Revenues earned are computed by reference to the contract or detailed analyses of revenues and expenses by production phase

that supported the related construction contract or bid proposal. These detailed analyses also serve as early indicators as to whether a construction contract may ultimately be completed at a loss. Any anticipated losses on such contracts are charged against operations as soon as such losses are determined to be probable and estimable. During 2003, reserves of \$14 million were established for job losses related to a large highway construction project in Virginia, reflecting weather-related cost increases and construction delays. Losses

of this magnitude are unusual, and this reserve reflects the fact that rainfall in Virginia during 2003 was among the highest levels on record in the last 109 years.

Assumptions concerning the extent of completion can have a significant effect on the income recognized on an individual construction project in any period. However, the effects of individual assumptions on APAC's reported results are mitigated to some extent by the significant number of jobs in various stages of completion at any point in time.

OUTLOOK

The major cornerstones of Ashland's strategy for 2004 will be driving efficiency, managing capital effectively and expanding through organic means in existing or adjacent markets. The Top-Quartile Cost Structure (TQCS) program that is currently underway is the initial focus in driving efficiency. That program is designed to reduce Ashland's annual selling, general and administrative (SG&A) costs by at least \$75 million, and is in addition to the actions that were taken in 2003 to produce annual G&A savings of \$25 million. Opportunities to produce additional savings through process changes that cut horizontally across Ashland are also being examined.

Improving the efficiency of Ashland's operations will be another focus. During 2003, APAC consolidated its operations from 39 into 24 field business units, implemented new employee benefit plans that will reduce its costs and consolidated its purchasing function, and also plans to continue reducing costs through the TQCS program and the Project PASS redesign initiative. Costs of \$20 million were incurred during 2003 under this redesign initiative, and such costs are expected to decline by about 40% in 2004 when this initiative will be completed. Ashland Distribution continues to focus on service level improvements, with a goal of reducing rework in the order to cash process. Ashland Specialty Chemical has recently adopted the Six Sigma quality process that focuses on reducing manufacturing expenses and improving quality. Valvoline continues to focus on process improvements, and recently implemented a new system that will result in a much more concise and streamlined invoicing process.

Managing capital effectively assures that Ashland's assets are performing at desired or expected levels. Assets whose performance cannot be improved will be redeployed, with the proceeds used to reduce debt or reinvest in more profitable growth opportunities. Reducing debt remains a key objective, with an ultimate target of having debt no greater than 35% of capital employed. MAP has been an important source of both operating income and cash, and Ashland will continue to capture value from that investment, which is subject to the put/call agreement discussed in Note D to the Consolidated Financial Statements. MAP is also working on improving its cost structure to strengthen profitability and competitive position.

Ashland is also pursuing sales and profit growth by developing new products, expanding into adjacent geographic or product markets, and improving marketing techniques to existing customers. In addition to restoring normal sales and profits through increased efficiency, APAC is pursuing growth by emphasizing large jobs and extending current capabilities in concrete paving, milling and bridge work across their markets. Ashland Distribution will continue to focus on perfecting on-time, accurate and complete service to ultimately restore sales to their pre-2001 levels, which were in excess of \$3 billion. Ashland Specialty Chemical has two new processes designed to support organic sales growth. A growth initiatives process will support the delivery of customer-focused solutions that do not require extensive research and development or commercialization support, while a solutions process is being developed to identify and deliver emerging, technology-based solutions within a 36-month time frame. Valvoline will pursue growth by continuing to build its premium brands and services in both the "do-it-yourself" and "do-it-for-me" markets, including an emphasis on product innovation and growth in selected international markets.

At September 30, 2003, APAC's construction backlog amounted to \$1.7 billion, up slightly from the level at the end of 2002. However, an increasingly competitive bidding process led to a decrease of about .5% in the estimated margin on about \$1.3 billion of new business awarded in 2003. About \$400 million of the backlog was bid at lower energy prices, and no income will be recognized on the completion of the work on which job loss reserves were recognized in 2003. Public sector work in the backlog increased slightly during the year, and the public funding outlook remains positive. Private contract work increased from \$136 million at the end of 2002 to \$169 million this year, reflecting the strengthening economy.

Ashland's sales and operating revenues are normally subject to seasonal variations. Although APAC normally enjoys a relatively long construction season, most of its operating income is generated during the construction period of May to October. In addition, MAP experiences demand increases for gasoline during the summer driving season, for propane and distillate during the winter heating season and for asphalt during the construction season. The following table compares operating income by quarter for the three years ended September 30, 2003 (amounts for each

quarter do not necessarily total to results for the year due to rounding).

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
QUARTERLY OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)			
December 31	\$ 32	\$ 96	\$ 136
March 31	(24)	(3)	79
June 30	138	132	366
September 30	119	96	251

EFFECTS OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES

Ashland's financial statements are prepared on the historical cost method of accounting and, as a result, do not reflect changes in the purchasing power of the U.S. dollar. Although annual inflation rates have been low in recent years, Ashland's results are still affected by the cumulative inflationary trend from prior years.

Certain of the industries in which Ashland and MAP operate are capital-intensive, and replacement costs for their plant and equipment would generally exceed their historical costs. Accordingly, depreciation, depletion and amortization expense would be greater if it were based on current replacement costs. However, since replacement facilities would reflect technological improvements and changes in business strategies, such facilities would be expected to be more productive than existing facilities, mitigating part of the increased expense.

Ashland uses the LIFO method to value a substantial portion of its inventories to provide a better matching of revenues with current costs. However, LIFO values such inventories below their replacement costs.

Monetary assets (such as cash, cash equivalents and accounts receivable) lose purchasing power as a result of inflation, while monetary liabilities (such as accounts payable and indebtedness) result in a gain, because they can be settled with dollars of diminished purchasing power. Ashland's monetary liabilities exceed its monetary assets, which results in net purchasing power gains and provides a hedge against the effects of future inflation.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis (MD&A) contains forward-looking statements, within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Estimates as to operating performance and earnings are based on a number of assumptions, including those mentioned in MD&A. Such estimates are also based upon internal forecasts and analyses of current and future market conditions and trends, management plans and strategies, weather, operating efficiencies and economic conditions, such as prices, supply and demand, and cost of raw materials. Although Ashland believes its expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, it cannot assure the expectations reflected in MD&A will be achieved. This forward-looking information may prove to be inaccurate and actual results may differ significantly from those anticipated if one or more of the underlying assumptions or expectations proves to be inaccurate or is unrealized, or if other unexpected conditions or events occur. Other factors and risks affecting Ashland are contained in Risks and Uncertainties in Note A to the Consolidated Financial Statements and in Item 1 of this annual report on Form 10-K. Ashland undertakes no obligation to subsequently update or revise these forward-looking statements.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Ashland selectively uses unleveraged interest rate swap agreements to obtain greater access to the lower borrowing costs normally available on floating-rate debt, while minimizing refunding risk through the issuance of long-term, fixed-rate debt. Ashland's intent is to maintain its floating-rate exposure between 25% and 45% of total interest-bearing obligations. At September 30, 2003, Ashland held interest rate swaps that effectively converted the interest rates on \$153 million of fixed-rate, medium-term notes to floating rates based upon three-month LIBOR. The swaps have been designated as fair value hedges, and since the critical terms of the debt instruments and the swaps match, the hedges are assumed to be perfectly effective, with the changes in fair value of the debt and swaps offsetting.

Ashland regularly uses commodity-based and foreign currency derivative instruments to manage its exposure to price fluctuations associated with the purchase of natural gas, diesel fuel and gasoline, as well as certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies. In addition, Ashland opportunistically enters into petroleum crackspread futures to economically hedge or enhance its equity earnings and cash distributions from MAP. Although certain of these instruments could be designated as qualifying for hedge accounting treatment, Ashland has not elected to do so. Futures contracts for natural gas are generally accounted for as normal purchases and sales, and the fair values of other derivatives are recorded on the

balance sheet, with the resulting gains or losses recognized in earnings.
The

M-11

potential loss from a hypothetical 10% adverse change in commodity prices or foreign currency rates on Ashland's open commodity-based and foreign currency derivative instruments at September 30, 2003, would not significantly affect Ashland's consolidated financial position, results of operations, cash flows or liquidity.

MAP uses commodity-based derivative instruments to manage its exposure to commodity price risk. MAP's management has authorized the use of futures, forwards, swaps and combinations of options related to the purchase or sale of crude oil, refined products and natural gas. Changes in the fair value of all derivatives are recognized immediately in income unless the derivative qualifies as a hedge of future cash flows or certain foreign currency exposures. MAP has not designated any derivatives as qualifying for hedge accounting treatment.

ITEM 8. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND FINANCIAL SCHEDULE

	PAGE

Report of management.....	F-2
Report of independent auditors.....	F-2
Consolidated financial statements:	
Statements of consolidated income.....	F-3
Consolidated balance sheets.....	F-4
Statements of consolidated stockholders' equity.....	F-5
Statements of consolidated cash flows.....	F-6
Notes to consolidated financial statements.....	F-7
Information by industry segment.....	F-24
Five-year selected financial information.....	F-26
Consolidated financial schedule:	
Schedule II - Valuation and qualifying accounts.....	F-27

Schedules other than that listed above have been omitted because of the absence of the conditions under which they are required or because the information required is shown in the consolidated financial statements or the notes thereto. Separate financial statements for MAP required by Rule 3-09 of Regulation S-X will be filed as an amendment to this annual report on Form 10-K within 90 days after the end of MAP's fiscal year ending December 31, 2003. Separate financial statements of other unconsolidated affiliates are omitted because each company does not constitute a significant subsidiary using the 20% tests when considered individually. Summarized financial information for such affiliates is disclosed in Note D of Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

Management is responsible for the consolidated financial statements and other financial information included in this annual report on Form 10-K. Such financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Accounting principles are selected and information is reported which, using management's best judgment and estimates, present fairly Ashland's consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. The other financial information in this annual report on Form 10-K is consistent with the consolidated financial statements.

Ashland's Code of Business Conduct summarizes our guiding values as obeying the law, adhering to high ethical standards and acting as responsible members of the communities where we operate. Compliance with that Code forms the foundation of our internal control systems, which are designed to provide reasonable assurance that Ashland's assets are safeguarded and its records reflect, in all material respects, transactions in accordance with management's authorization. The concept of reasonable assurance is based on the recognition that the cost of a system of internal control should not exceed the related benefits. Management believes that adequate internal controls are maintained by the selection and training of qualified personnel, by an appropriate division of responsibility in all organizational arrangements, by the establishment and communication of accounting and business policies, and by internal audits.

The Board, subject to stockholder ratification, selects and engages the independent auditors based on the recommendation of the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee, composed of directors who are not members of management, reviews the adequacy of Ashland's policies, procedures and controls, the scope of auditing and other services performed by the independent auditors, and the scope of the internal audit function. The Committee holds meetings with Ashland's internal auditor and independent auditors, with and without management present, to discuss the findings of their audits, the overall quality of Ashland's financial reporting and their evaluation of Ashland's internal controls.

Ernst & Young, independent auditors, are engaged to audit Ashland's consolidated financial statements. Their audit includes a review of Ashland's internal controls to the extent they consider necessary in the circumstances, and their report follows.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Ashland Inc. and consolidated subsidiaries as of September 30, 2003 and 2002, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2003. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of Ashland Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above (appearing on pages F-3 to F-25 of this annual report on Form 10-K) present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Ashland Inc. and consolidated subsidiaries at September 30, 2003 and 2002, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended September 30, 2003, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note A to the financial statements, in 2003 Ashland Inc. changed its methods of accounting for employee stock options and variable interest entities and in 2002 Ashland Inc. changed its method of accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets. Additionally, as discussed in Note A to the financial statements, in 2001 Ashland Inc. and its unconsolidated affiliate, Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, changed their method of accounting for derivatives.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED INCOME
 Years Ended September 30

(In millions except per share data)	2003	2002	2001

REVENUES			
Sales and operating revenues	\$ 7,518	\$ 7,348	\$ 7,528
Equity income - Note D	301	181	755
Other income	46	47	53
	-----	-----	-----
	7,865	7,576	8,336
COSTS AND EXPENSES			
Cost of sales and operating expenses	6,005	5,736	6,016
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,390	1,311	1,249
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	204	208	240
	-----	-----	-----
	7,599	7,255	7,505
OPERATING INCOME			
	266	321	831
Net interest and other financial costs - Note E	(128)	(138)	(175)
	-----	-----	-----
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	138	183	656
Income taxes - Note J	(44)	(68)	(266)
	-----	-----	-----
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	94	115	390
Results from discontinued operations (net of income taxes) - Note N	(14)	13	32
	-----	-----	-----
INCOME BEFORE CUMULATIVE EFFECT OF ACCOUNTING CHANGES	80	128	422
Cumulative effect of accounting changes (net of income taxes) - Note A	(5)	(11)	(5)
	-----	-----	-----
NET INCOME	\$ 75	\$ 117	\$ 417
	=====	=====	=====
EARNINGS PER SHARE - NOTE A			
Basic			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.67	\$ 5.60
Results from discontinued operations	(.19)	.19	.46
Cumulative effect of accounting changes	(.08)	(.17)	(.07)
	-----	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.69	\$ 5.99
	=====	=====	=====
Diluted			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.64	\$ 5.54
Results from discontinued operations	(.19)	.19	.45
Cumulative effect of accounting changes	(.08)	(.16)	(.06)
	-----	-----	-----
Net income	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.67	\$ 5.93
	=====	=====	=====

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
September 30

(In millions)	2003	2002
<hr/>		
ASSETS		

CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 223	\$ 90
Accounts receivable (less allowances for doubtful accounts of \$35 million in 2003 and \$34 million in 2002)	1,135	1,056
Inventories - Note A	441	456
Deferred income taxes - Note J	142	119
Assets of discontinued operations held for sale - Note N	-	211
Other current assets	144	139
	-----	-----
	2,085	2,071
INVESTMENTS AND OTHER ASSETS		
Investment in Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC (MAP) - Note D	2,448	2,350
Goodwill - Note A	523	510
Asbestos insurance receivable (noncurrent portion) - Note M	399	171
Other noncurrent assets	340	329
	-----	-----
	3,710	3,360
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT		
Cost		
APAC	1,322	1,358
Ashland Distribution	333	360
Ashland Specialty Chemical	722	708
Valvoline	424	379
Corporate	158	115
	-----	-----
	2,959	2,920
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization	(1,748)	(1,629)
	-----	-----
	1,211	1,291
	-----	-----
	\$ 7,006	\$ 6,722
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		

CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Debt due within one year		
Commercial paper	\$ -	\$ 10
Current portion of long-term debt	102	191
Trade and other payables	1,371	1,256
Liabilities of discontinued operations held for sale - Note N	-	39
Income taxes	11	24
	-----	-----
	1,484	1,520
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES		
Long-term debt (less current portion) - Note E	1,512	1,606
Employee benefit obligations - Note O	385	509
Deferred income taxes - Note J	291	246
Reserves of captive insurance companies	168	166
Asbestos litigation reserve (noncurrent portion) - Note M	560	152
Other long-term liabilities and deferred credits	353	350
Commitments and contingencies - Notes F and M		
	-----	-----
	3,269	3,029
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY - Notes E, K and L		
Preferred stock, no par value, 30 million shares authorized	-	-
Common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, 300 million shares authorized		
Issued - 68 million shares in 2003 and 2002	68	68
Paid-in capital	350	338
Retained earnings	1,961	1,961
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(126)	(194)
	-----	-----
	2,253	2,173
	-----	-----
	\$ 7,006	\$ 6,722
	=====	=====

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
 STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In millions)	Common stock	Paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Accumulated other comprehensive loss	Total
BALANCE AT OCTOBER 1, 2000	\$ 70	\$ 388	\$ 1,579	\$ (72)	\$ 1,965
Total comprehensive income (1)			417	(54)	363
Cash dividends, \$1.10 per common share			(76)		(76)
Issued common stock under stock incentive plans	1	22			23
Repurchase of common stock	(2)	(47)			(49)
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2001	69	363	1,920	(126)	2,226
Total comprehensive income (1)			117	(68)	49
Cash dividends, \$1.10 per common share			(76)		(76)
Issued common stock under stock incentive plans		16			16
Repurchase of common stock	(1)	(41)			(42)
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2002	68	338	1,961	(194)	2,173
Total comprehensive income (1)			75	68	143
Cash dividends, \$1.10 per common share			(75)		(75)
Issued common stock under stock incentive plans		12			12
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2003	\$ 68	\$ 350	\$ 1,961	\$ (126)	\$ 2,253

(1) Reconciliations of net income to total comprehensive income follow.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
Net income	\$ 75	\$ 117	\$ 417
Minimum pension liability adjustment	24	(144)	(57)
Related tax benefit (expense)	(9)	56	22
Unrealized translation gains (losses)	53	19	(21)
Related tax benefit	-	1	2
Total comprehensive income	\$ 143	\$ 49	\$ 363

At September 30, 2003, the accumulated other comprehensive loss of \$126 million (after tax) was comprised of net unrealized translation losses of \$10 million and a minimum pension liability of \$116 million.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
STATEMENTS OF CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS
Years Ended September 30

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
<hr/>			
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATIONS			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 94	\$ 115	\$ 390
Expense (income) not affecting cash			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	204	208	240
Deferred income taxes	49	(121)	151
Equity income from affiliates	(301)	(181)	(755)
Distributions from equity affiliates	203	201	664
Other items	1	-	5
Change in operating assets and liabilities (1)	(8)	(59)	119
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	242	163	814
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	-	55	52
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	2	11	15
Repayment of long-term debt	(216)	(140)	(169)
Repurchase of common stock	-	(42)	(49)
Increase (decrease) in short-term debt	(10)	10	(245)
Dividends paid	(75)	(76)	(76)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	(299)	(182)	(472)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTMENT			
Additions to property, plant and equipment	(110)	(174)	(186)
Purchase of operations - net of cash acquired	(5)	(15)	(91)
Proceeds from sale of operations	7	-	9
Other - net	11	27	9
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	(97)	(162)	(259)
CASH PROVIDED (USED) BY CONTINUING OPERATIONS			
Cash provided by discontinued operations	(154)	(181)	83
	287	35	86
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
	133	(146)	169
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year	90	236	67
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - END OF YEAR	\$ 223	\$ 90	\$ 236
	<hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/>
DECREASE (INCREASE) IN OPERATING ASSETS (1)			
Accounts receivable	\$ (79)	\$ 110	\$ 70
Inventories	15	12	5
Deferred income taxes	22	17	-
Other current assets	(5)	30	31
Investments and other assets	7	36	(168)
INCREASE (DECREASE) IN OPERATING LIABILITIES (1)			
Trade and other payables	115	(132)	71
Income taxes	(50)	(18)	4
Noncurrent liabilities	(33)	(114)	106
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
CHANGE IN OPERATING ASSETS AND LIABILITIES	\$ (8)	\$ (59)	\$ 119
	<hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/>	<hr/> <hr/>

(1) Excludes changes resulting from operations acquired or sold.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Ashland and its majority owned subsidiaries. Investments in joint ventures and 20% to 50% owned affiliates are accounted for on the equity method. In January 2003, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities." Beginning July 1, 2003, the lessor entity in one of Ashland's lease programs was consolidated in Ashland's financial statements under FIN 46, resulting in a pre-tax charge of \$8 million (\$5 million net of income taxes) for the cumulative effect of this accounting change. Property, plant and equipment increased by \$27 million and long-term debt increased by \$35 million as a result of the consolidation of the lessor entity. Ashland canceled the lease and purchased the assets from the lessor in October 2003.

RISKS AND UNCERTAINTIES

The preparation of Ashland's consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Significant items that are subject to such estimates and assumptions include long-lived assets, employee benefit obligations, reserves and associated receivables for asbestos litigation and environmental remediation, and income recognized under construction contracts. Although management bases its estimates on historical experience and various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, actual results could differ significantly from the estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Ashland's results, including those of Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC (MAP), are affected by domestic and international economic, political, legislative, regulatory and legal actions, as well as weather conditions. Economic conditions, such as recessionary trends, inflation, interest and monetary exchange rates, and changes in the prices of crude oil, petroleum products and petrochemicals, can have a significant effect on operations. Political actions may include changes in the policies of the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries or other developments involving or affecting oil-producing countries, including military conflict, embargoes, internal instability or actions or reactions of the U.S. government in anticipation of, or in response to, such actions. While Ashland maintains reserves for anticipated liabilities and carries various levels of insurance, Ashland could be affected by civil, criminal, regulatory or administrative actions, claims or proceedings relating to asbestos, environmental remediation or other matters. In addition, climate and weather can significantly affect Ashland's results from several of its operations, such as APAC's construction activities and MAP's refined product sales.

INVENTORIES

(In millions)	2003	2002
Chemicals and plastics	\$ 333	\$ 335
Construction materials	67	68
Petroleum products	66	58
Other products	48	51
Supplies	5	5
Excess of replacement costs over LIFO carrying values	(78)	(61)
	-----	-----
	\$ 441	\$ 456
	=====	=====

Chemicals, plastics and petroleum products with a replacement cost of \$279 million at September 30, 2003, and \$294 million at September 30, 2002, are valued using the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method. The remaining inventories are stated generally at the lower of cost (using the first-in, first-out [FIFO] or average cost methods) or market.

LONG-LIVED ASSETS, GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

The cost of plant and equipment is depreciated by the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Such costs are periodically reviewed for recoverability when impairment indicators are present. Such indicators include, among other factors, operating losses, unused capacity, market value declines and technological obsolescence. Recorded values of property, plant and equipment that are not expected to be recovered through undiscounted future net cash flows are written down to current fair value, which is generally determined from estimated discounted future net cash flows (assets held for use) or net realizable value (assets

held for sale). During

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

2003, Ashland recognized an impairment charge of \$10 million for a maleic anhydride production facility that is shutdown and not likely to reopen based on internal analyses.

As of October 1, 2001, Ashland adopted FASB Statement No. 142 (FAS 142), "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets." Under FAS 142, goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives are no longer amortized but are subject to annual impairment tests. Prior to the adoption of FAS 142, Ashland's goodwill was amortized by the straight-line method over periods generally ranging from 15 to 40 years. Goodwill amortization amounted to \$41 million in 2001 and included charges of \$10 million for write-downs related to certain operations. Results from those operations consistently had been well below the levels that were expected when they were acquired, necessitating the impairment review and resulting write-downs.

When MAP was formed, Ashland's investment exceeded its underlying equity in the net assets of that company. That excess investment included \$245 million that was accounted for as part of the carrying value of MAP's plant and equipment, and is being amortized on a straight-line basis over 15 years at a rate of \$16 million a year. The remainder was accounted for as goodwill and was being amortized on a straight-line basis over 20 years at a rate of \$10 million a year prior to the adoption of FAS 142. At September 30, 2003, Ashland's investment exceeds its equity in the net assets of MAP by \$316 million, of which \$151 million represents plant and equipment that will continue to be amortized, and \$165 million represents goodwill.

As a result of the adoption of FAS 142, it was determined that the goodwill of Ashland Distribution was impaired. Accordingly, an impairment loss of \$14 million (\$11 million net of income taxes) was recorded as a cumulative effect of accounting change as of October 1, 2001. During 2003, Ashland recognized an impairment charge of \$9 million for goodwill associated with non-strategic businesses of APAC identified for sale. Due to the nonamortization of goodwill, Ashland's reported results for 2003 and 2002 are not comparable to 2001. If Ashland had adopted FAS 142 as of October 1, 2000, income from continuing operations for 2001 would have increased to \$435 million, and basic and diluted earnings per share would have increased to \$6.24 and \$6.18, respectively.

All of Ashland's intangible assets are subject to amortization. These intangible assets (included in other noncurrent assets) and the related amortization expense are not material to Ashland's consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Following is a progression of goodwill by segment for the year ended September 30, 2003. Not included in the \$510 million of goodwill at October 1, 2002, is \$11 million of goodwill of the Electronic Chemicals division of Ashland Specialty Chemical that was classified as assets of discontinued operations held for sale (see Note N).

(In millions)	APAC	Ashland Specialty Chemical	Valvoline	Total
Balance at October 1, 2002	\$ 420	\$ 85	\$ 5	\$ 510
Goodwill acquired	-	-	1	1
Goodwill assigned to sold businesses	(1)	-	-	(1)
Impairment losses	(9)	-	-	(9)
Adjustments related to previous acquisitions	16	1	-	17
Currency translation adjustments	-	5	-	5
Balance at September 30, 2003	\$ 426	\$ 91	\$ 6	\$ 523

ENVIRONMENTAL COSTS

Accruals for environmental costs are recognized when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of that liability can be reasonably estimated. Such costs are charged to expense if they relate to the remediation of conditions caused by past operations or are not expected to mitigate or prevent contamination from future operations. Accruals are recorded at undiscounted amounts based on experience, assessments and current technology, without regard to any third-party recoveries and are regularly adjusted as environmental assessments and remediation efforts continue.

EARNINGS PER SHARE

Following is the computation of basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) from continuing operations.

(In millions except per share data)	2003	2002	2001
NUMERATOR			
Numerator for basic and diluted EPS -			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 94	\$ 115	\$ 390
	=====	=====	=====
DENOMINATOR			
Denominator for basic EPS - Weighted average			
common shares outstanding	68	69	69
Common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options	1	1	1
	-----	-----	-----
Denominator for diluted EPS - Adjusted weighted			
average shares and assumed conversions	69	70	70
	=====	=====	=====
BASIC EPS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.67	\$ 5.60
DILUTED EPS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	1.37	1.64	5.54

STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

As of October 1, 2002, Ashland began expensing employee stock options in accordance with FASB Statement No. 123 (FAS 123), "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," and its related amendments. Ashland elected the modified prospective method of adoption, under which compensation costs recorded in the year ended September 30, 2003 are the same as that which would have been recorded had the recognition provisions of FAS 123 been applied from its original effective date. Results for prior periods have not been restated. Prior to October 1, 2002, Ashland accounted for stock options under Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees," and related Interpretations, and no expense was recorded. In addition to stock options, Ashland grants nonvested stock awards to key employees and directors, which are expensed over their vesting period under either APB 25 or FAS 123. See Note L for the impact of this accounting change on net income and earnings per share.

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

In June 1998, the FASB issued Statement No. 133 (FAS 133), "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." FAS 133 was amended by two other statements and was required to be adopted in years beginning after June 15, 2000. Because of Ashland's minimal use of derivatives, FAS 133 did not have a significant effect on Ashland's consolidated financial position or results of operations when it was adopted on October 1, 2000. MAP's adoption of FAS 133 on January 1, 2001, resulted in a \$20 million pretax loss from the cumulative effect of this accounting change. Ashland's share of the pretax loss amounted to \$8 million which, net of income tax benefits of \$3 million, resulted in a loss of \$5 million from the cumulative effect of this accounting change.

Ashland selectively uses unleveraged interest rate swap agreements to obtain greater access to the lower borrowing costs normally available on floating-rate debt, while minimizing refunding risk through the issuance of long-term, fixed-rate debt. Ashland's intent is to maintain its floating-rate exposure between 25% and 45% of total interest-bearing obligations. At September 30, 2003, Ashland held interest rate swaps that effectively converted the interest rates on \$153 million of fixed-rate, medium-term notes to floating rates based upon three-month LIBOR. The swaps have been designated as fair value hedges, and since the critical terms of the debt instruments and the swaps match, the hedges are assumed to be perfectly effective, with the changes in fair value of the debt and swaps offsetting. Settlements of terminated swaps are amortized to interest expense over the remaining term of the debt.

Ashland regularly uses commodity-based and foreign currency derivative instruments to manage its exposure to price fluctuations associated with the purchase of natural gas, diesel fuel and gasoline, as well as certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies. In addition, Ashland opportunistically enters into petroleum crackspread futures to economically hedge or enhance its equity earnings and cash distributions from MAP. Although certain of these instruments could be designated as qualifying for hedge accounting treatment, Ashland has not elected to do so. Futures contracts for natural gas are generally accounted for as normal purchases and sales, and the fair values of other derivatives are recorded on the balance sheet, with the resulting gains or losses recognized in earnings.

MAP uses commodity-based derivative instruments to manage its exposure to commodity price risk. MAP's management has authorized the use of futures, forwards, swaps and combinations of options related to the purchase or sale of crude oil, refined products and natural gas. Changes in the fair value of all derivatives are recognized immediately in income unless the derivative qualifies as a hedge of future cash flows or certain foreign currency exposures. MAP has not designated any derivatives as

qualifying for hedge accounting treatment.

NOTE A - SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

OTHER

Cash equivalents include highly liquid investments maturing within three months after purchase.

Income related to construction contracts is generally recognized by the units-of-production method, which is a variation of the percentage-of-completion method. Any anticipated losses on such contracts are charged against operations as soon as such losses are determined to be probable and estimable.

Advertising costs (\$77 million in 2003, \$78 million in 2002 and \$67 million in 2001) and research and development costs (\$36 million in 2003, \$34 million in 2002 and \$33 million in 2001) are expensed as incurred.

Because Ashland's products are generally sold without any extended warranties, liabilities for product warranties are insignificant. Costs of product warranties are generally expensed as incurred.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes to conform to 2003 classifications.

NOTE B - INFORMATION BY INDUSTRY SEGMENT

Ashland's operations are managed along industry segments, which include APAC, Ashland Distribution, Ashland Specialty Chemical, Valvoline, and Refining and Marketing. Information by industry segment is shown on pages F-24 and F-25.

The APAC group of companies performs contract construction work, such as paving, repairing and resurfacing highways, streets, airports, residential and commercial developments, sidewalks, and driveways; grading and base work; and excavation and related activities in the construction of bridges and structures, drainage facilities and underground utilities in 14 southern and midwestern states. APAC also produces and sells construction materials, such as hot-mix asphalt, crushed stone and other aggregate and ready-mix concrete.

Ashland Distribution distributes chemicals, plastics, composites and fine ingredients in North America and plastics in Europe, and provides environmental services throughout North America.

Ashland Specialty Chemical manufactures composites, adhesives, and casting binder chemicals for use in the transportation and construction industries. Ashland Specialty Chemical also manufactures water treatment chemicals for use in the general industrial and merchant marine markets.

Valvoline is a marketer of premium-branded automotive and commercial oils, automotive chemicals, appearance products and automotive services, with sales in more than 120 countries. Valvoline is engaged in the "fast oil change" business through owned and franchised service centers operating under the Valvoline Instant Oil Change name.

The Refining and Marketing segment includes Ashland's 38% ownership interest in Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC (MAP) and other activities associated with refining and marketing. MAP was formed January 1, 1998, combining the major elements of the refining, marketing and transportation operations of Ashland and Marathon Oil Company. MAP has seven refineries with a combined crude oil refining capacity of 935,000 barrels per calendar day, 87 light products and asphalt terminals in the Midwest and Southeast United States, about 5,650 retail marketing outlets in 17 states and significant pipeline holdings. Ashland accounts for its investment in MAP using the equity method.

Information about Ashland's domestic and foreign operations follows. Ashland has no material operations in any individual foreign country.

(In millions)	Revenues from external customers			Property, plant and equipment	
	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002
United States	\$ 6,740	\$ 6,621	\$ 7,380	\$ 1,079	\$ 1,168
Foreign	1,125	955	956	132	123
	<u>\$ 7,865</u>	<u>\$ 7,576</u>	<u>\$ 8,336</u>	<u>\$ 1,211</u>	<u>\$ 1,291</u>

NOTE C - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Ashland sells chemicals and lubricants to Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC (MAP) and purchases petroleum products from MAP. Such transactions are in the ordinary course of business at negotiated prices comparable to those of transactions with other customers and suppliers. In addition, Ashland leases certain facilities to MAP, and provides certain information technology and administrative services to MAP. The following table indicates the amounts of these transactions for each of the last three years ended September 30. Ashland's transactions with other affiliates and related parties were not significant.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
Ashland's sales to MAP	\$ 23	\$ 24	\$ 22
Ashland's purchases from MAP	247	217	258
Ashland's costs charged to MAP	3	6	6

Ashland has entered into revolving credit agreements providing for short-term loans, at Ashland's discretion, to and from MAP at competitive rates. Under MAP's borrowing agreement, Ashland may loan up to \$190 million to MAP. Under Ashland's borrowing agreement, MAP could invest up to 38% of its surplus cash balances with Ashland. No loans were outstanding under either agreement at September 30, 2003 and 2002. Under these agreements, Ashland paid interest expense to MAP of \$4 million in 2001. Interest expense paid to MAP in 2003 and 2002 and interest income received from MAP in all three years was not significant.

Ashland has guaranteed 38% of MAP's payments for certain crude oil purchases, up to a maximum guarantee of \$95 million. At September 30, 2003, Ashland's contingent liability under this guarantee amounted to \$60 million. Although Ashland has not made and does not expect to make any payments under this guarantee, it has recorded the fair value of this guarantee obligation, which is not significant.

NOTE D - UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES

As discussed in Note B, Ashland accounts for its investment in MAP on the equity method. Under the agreements related to its formation, MAP was organized by Ashland and Marathon Oil Company (Marathon) as a limited liability company for an initial term expiring on December 31, 2022, subject to automatic ten-year extensions unless a termination notice is given by either parent. The parents also entered into a put/call agreement that could be exercised by either parent at any time after December 31, 2004. Under that agreement, Ashland will have the right to sell all of its ownership interest in MAP to Marathon for an amount equal to 85% (90% if equity securities are used) of the fair market value of that ownership interest, payable in cash or Marathon debt or equity securities. Similarly, Marathon will have the right to purchase all of Ashland's ownership interest in MAP for an amount equal to 115% of the fair market value of that ownership interest, payable in cash.

Summarized financial information reported by MAP and other companies accounted for on the equity method is presented in the following table, along with a summary of the amounts recorded in Ashland's consolidated financial statements. Since MAP is organized as a limited liability company that has elected to be taxed as a partnership, the parents are responsible for income taxes applicable to their share of MAP's taxable income. The net income of MAP reflected below does not include any provision for income taxes that will be incurred by its parents. At September 30, 2003, Ashland's retained earnings included \$212 million of undistributed earnings from unconsolidated affiliates accounted for on the equity method.

NOTE D - UNCONSOLIDATED AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

(In millions)	MAP	Other affiliates	Total

SEPTEMBER 30, 2003			
Financial position			
Current assets	\$ 3,889	\$ 149	
Current liabilities	(2,640)	(82)	
	-----	-----	
Working capital	1,249	67	
Noncurrent assets	4,946	99	
Noncurrent liabilities	(586)	(59)	
	-----	-----	
Stockholders' equity	\$ 5,609	\$ 107	
	=====	=====	
Results of operations			
Sales and operating revenues	\$ 32,034	\$ 336	
Income from operations	810	41	
Net income	795	34	
Amounts recorded by Ashland			
Investments and advances	2,448 (1)	47	\$ 2,495
Equity income	285	16	301
Distributions received	197	6	203
SEPTEMBER 30, 2002			
Financial position			
Current assets	\$ 3,425	\$ 151	
Current liabilities	(2,200)	(71)	
	-----	-----	
Working capital	1,225	80	
Noncurrent assets	4,572	105	
Noncurrent liabilities	(461)	(106)	
	-----	-----	
Stockholders' equity	\$ 5,336	\$ 79	
	=====	=====	
Results of operations			
Sales and operating revenues	\$ 25,063	\$ 237	
Income from operations	511	24	
Net income	502	16	
Amounts recorded by Ashland			
Investments and advances	2,350	37	\$ 2,387
Equity income	176	5	181
Distributions received	196	5	201
SEPTEMBER 30, 2001			
Results of operations			
Sales and operating revenues	\$ 28,865	\$ 204	
Income from operations	2,042	22	
Net income	2,022	14	
Amounts recorded by Ashland			
Equity income	749	6	\$ 755
Distributions received	658	6	664

(1) At September 30, 2003, Ashland's investment exceeds its equity in the net assets of MAP by \$316 million, of which \$151 million represents plant and equipment that will continue to be amortized, and \$165 million represents goodwill. Straight-line amortization of the excess investment that was charged against equity income amounted to \$16 million in 2003 and 2002 and \$26 million in 2001 (see Note A).

NOTE E - DEBT

(In millions)	2003	2002
Medium-term notes, due 2004-2025, interest at a weighted average rate of 8% at September 30, 2003 (6.9% to 10.4%)	\$ 578	\$ 765
8.80% debentures, due 2012	250	250
7.83% medium-term notes, Series J, due 2005	229	229
Pollution control and industrial revenue bonds, due 2004-2022, interest at a weighted average rate of 5.5% at September 30, 2003 (1.0% to 7.1%)	176	201
6.86% medium-term notes, Series H, due 2009	150	150
6.625% senior notes, due 2008	150	150
Other	81	52
Total long-term debt	1,614	1,797
Current portion of long-term debt	(102)	(191)
Long-term debt (less current portion)	\$ 1,512	\$ 1,606

Aggregate maturities of long-term debt are \$102 million in 2004, \$399 million in 2005, \$62 million in 2006, \$125 million in 2007 and \$168 million in 2008. Interest payments on all indebtedness amounted to \$125 million in 2003, \$138 million in 2002 and \$167 million in 2001. No short-term borrowings were outstanding at September 30, 2003. The weighted average interest rate on short-term borrowings outstanding was 1.9% at September 30, 2002.

Ashland has two revolving credit agreements providing for up to \$350 million in borrowings, neither of which was in use at September 30, 2003. The agreement providing for \$250 million in borrowings expires on June 2, 2004. The agreement providing for \$100 million in borrowings expires on June 4, 2004. Both agreements contain a covenant limiting new borrowings based on Ashland's stockholders' equity. However, these agreements would have permitted an additional \$1.7 billion of borrowings at September 30, 2003. Additional permissible borrowings are increased (decreased) by 150% of any increase (decrease) in stockholders' equity.

NET INTEREST AND OTHER FINANCIAL COSTS

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
Interest expense	\$ 123	\$ 135	\$ 162
Expenses on sales of accounts receivable (see Note G)	3	4	8
Loss on early retirement of debt	-	-	5
Other financial costs	3	3	2
Interest income	(1)	(4)	(2)
	\$ 128	\$ 138	\$ 175

NOTE F - LEASES

Ashland and its subsidiaries are lessees of office buildings, retail outlets, transportation and off-road construction equipment, warehouses and storage facilities, and other equipment, facilities and properties under leasing agreements that expire at various dates. Under various operating leases, Ashland has guaranteed the residual value of the underlying property that had an unamortized cost totaling \$117 million at September 30, 2003. If Ashland had canceled those leases at that date, its maximum obligations under the residual value guarantees would have amounted to \$102 million. Ashland does not expect to incur any significant charge to earnings under these guarantees, \$46 million of which relates to real estate. These lease agreements are with unrelated third party lessors and Ashland has no additional contractual or other commitments to any parties to the leases. Capitalized lease obligations are not significant and are included in long-term debt. Future minimum rental payments at September 30, 2003, and rental expense under operating leases follow.

NOTE F - LEASES (CONTINUED)

(In millions)

Future minimum rental payments		Rental expense	2003	2002	2001
2004	\$ 47	Minimum rentals			
2005	39	(including rentals under			
2006	33	short-term leases)	\$ 98	\$ 103	\$ 116
2007	26	Contingent rentals	3	3	5
2008	22	Sublease rental income	(2)	(2)	(2)
Later years	91				
	-----		-----	-----	-----
	\$ 258		\$ 99	\$ 104	\$ 119
	=====		=====	=====	=====

FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), "Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others," was issued in November 2002. Upon entering new lease agreements with residual value guarantees after December 31, 2002, Ashland is required to record the fair value at inception of these guarantee obligations in accordance with FIN 45. At September 30, 2003, the recorded value of such obligations was not significant.

NOTE G - SALE OF ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

On March 15, 2000, Ashland entered into a five-year agreement to sell, on an ongoing basis with limited recourse, up to a \$200 million undivided interest in a designated pool of accounts receivable. Under the terms of the agreement, new receivables are added to the pool and collections reduce the pool. Since inception, interests totaling \$150 million have been sold on a continuous basis, except for a period between April 29 and September 7, 2003, when the full \$200 million capacity was utilized. Ashland retains a credit interest in these receivables and addresses its risk of loss on this retained interest in its allowance for doubtful accounts. Receivables sold exclude defaulted accounts or concentrations over certain limits with any one customer. The costs of these sales are based on the buyer's short-term borrowing rates and approximated 1.5% at September 30, 2003, and 2.2% at September 30, 2002.

NOTE H - FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS

Ashland uses interest rate swaps and commodity-based and foreign currency derivative instruments as described in Note A. Open contracts other than interest rate swaps were not significant at September 30, 2003 and 2002.

FAIR VALUES

The carrying amounts and fair values of Ashland's significant financial instruments at September 30, 2003 and 2002 are shown below. The fair values of cash and cash equivalents, investments of captive insurance companies and commercial paper approximate their carrying amounts. The fair values of long-term debt are based on quoted market prices or, if market prices are not available, the present values of the underlying cash flows discounted at Ashland's incremental borrowing rates. The fair values of interest rate swaps are based on quoted market prices.

(In millions)	2003		2002	
	Carrying amount	Fair value	Carrying amount	Fair value
Assets				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 223	\$ 223	\$ 90	\$ 90
Interest rate swaps	1	1	11	11
Investments of captive insurance companies (1)	13	13	3	3
Liabilities				
Commercial paper	-	-	10	10
Long-term debt (including current portion)	1,614	1,809	1,797	1,958

(1) Included in other noncurrent assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

NOTE I - ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

ACQUISITIONS

During 2001, Ashland Specialty Chemical acquired Neste Polyester's unsaturated polyester resins and gelcoats business and assets from Dynea Oy. In addition, several smaller acquisitions were completed by APAC, Ashland Specialty Chemical and Valvoline during the three years ended September 30, 2003. These acquisitions were accounted for as purchases and did not have a significant effect on Ashland's consolidated financial statements.

DIVESTITURES

During 2003, APAC sold the assets of its Nashville division and certain ready-mix operations in Missouri. During 2001, APAC sold certain grading and utilities construction operations. None of these divestitures had a significant effect on Ashland's consolidated financial statements. See Note N for a discussion of the sale of the Electronic Chemicals division of Ashland Specialty Chemical in 2003.

NOTE J - INCOME TAXES

A summary of the provision for income taxes related to continuing operations follows.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
Current (1)			
Federal	\$ (17)	\$ 151	\$ 85
State	(3)	22	13
Foreign	15	16	17
	(5)	189	115
Deferred	49	(121)	151
	\$ 44	\$ 68	\$ 266

(1) Income tax payments amounted to \$24 million in 2003, \$158 million in 2002 and \$103 million in 2001.

Deferred income taxes are provided for income and expense items recognized in different years for tax and financial reporting purposes. Ashland has not recorded deferred income taxes on the undistributed earnings of certain foreign subsidiaries and 50% owned foreign corporate joint ventures. Management intends to indefinitely reinvest such earnings, which amounted to \$105 million at September 30, 2003. Because of significant foreign tax credits, it is not practicable to determine the U.S. federal income tax liability, if any, that might be incurred if those earnings were distributed. Temporary differences that give rise to significant deferred tax assets and liabilities follow.

(In millions)	2003	2002
Employee benefit obligations	\$ 219	\$ 204
Environmental, self-insurance and litigation reserves (net of receivables)	196	134
Compensation accruals	59	53
Uncollectible accounts receivable	18	18
Other items	61	51
Total deferred tax assets	553	460
Property, plant and equipment	189	175
Investment in unconsolidated affiliates	513	412
Total deferred tax liabilities	702	587
Net deferred tax liability	\$ 149	\$ 127

NOTE J - INCOME TAXES (CONTINUED)

The U.S. and foreign components of income from continuing operations before income taxes and a reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax with the provision for income taxes follow.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
Income from continuing operations before income taxes			
United States	\$ 60	\$ 114	\$ 594
Foreign	78	69	62
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 138	\$ 183	\$ 656
	=====	=====	=====
Income taxes computed at U.S. statutory rate (35%)	\$ 48	\$ 64	\$ 230
Increase (decrease) in amount computed resulting from			
State income taxes	3	1	21
Net impact of foreign results	(2)	3	4
Nondeductible goodwill amortization	-	-	11
Business meals and entertainment	3	3	3
Deductible dividends under employee stock ownership plan	(2)	(3)	(3)
Life insurance expense (income)	(2)	4	5
Other items	(4)	(4)	(5)
	-----	-----	-----
Income taxes	\$ 44	\$ 68	\$ 266
	=====	=====	=====

NOTE K - CAPITAL STOCK

Under Ashland's Shareholder Rights Plan, each common share is accompanied by one right to purchase one-thousandth share of preferred stock for \$140. Each one-thousandth share of preferred stock will be entitled to dividends and to vote on an equivalent basis with one common share. The rights are neither exercisable nor separately transferable from the common shares unless a party acquires or tenders for more than 15% of Ashland's common stock. If any party acquires more than 15% of Ashland's common stock or acquires Ashland in a business combination, each right (other than those held by the acquiring party) will entitle the holder to purchase preferred stock of Ashland or the acquiring company at a substantial discount. The rights expire on May 16, 2006, and Ashland's Board of Directors can amend certain provisions of the Plan or redeem the rights at any time prior to their becoming exercisable.

At September 30, 2003, 500,000 shares of cumulative preferred stock are reserved for potential issuance under the Shareholder Rights Plan and 10.5 million common shares are reserved for issuance under stock incentive and deferred compensation plans.

NOTE L - STOCK INCENTIVE PLANS

Ashland has stock incentive plans under which key employees or directors can purchase shares of common stock under stock options or nonvested stock awards. Stock options are granted to employees at a price equal to the fair market value of the stock on the date of grant and become exercisable over periods of one to four years. Unexercised options lapse 10 years after the date of grant. Nonvested stock awards entitle employees or directors to purchase shares at a nominal cost, to vote such shares and to receive any dividends thereon. However, such shares are subject to forfeiture upon termination of service before the vesting period ends.

As discussed in Note A, Ashland began expensing employee stock options in accordance with FAS 123 in 2003. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if FAS 123 had been applied in 2002 and 2001 to all outstanding and unvested awards. The fair value per share of options granted was determined using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the indicated assumptions.

(In millions except per share data)	2003	2002	2001
Net income as reported	\$ 75	\$ 117	\$ 417
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effects	5	-	-
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under FAS 123 for all awards, net of related tax effects	(5)	(4)	(3)
Pro forma net income	\$ 75	\$ 113	\$ 414
Earnings per share:			
Basic - as reported	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.69	\$ 5.99
Basic - pro forma	1.10	1.63	5.94
Diluted - as reported	1.10	1.67	5.93
Diluted - pro forma	1.10	1.61	5.88
Weighted average fair value per share of options granted	6.71	5.35	7.38
Assumptions (weighted average)			
Risk-free interest rate	3.1%	2.9%	4.1%
Expected dividend yield	3.3%	3.8%	3.0%
Expected volatility	27.3%	26.7%	24.4%
Expected life (in years)	5.0	5.0	5.0

A progression of activity and various other information relative to stock options is presented in the following table.

(In thousands except per share data)	2003		2002		2001	
	Common shares	Weighted average option price per share	Common shares	Weighted average option price per share	Common shares	Weighted average option price per share
Outstanding-beginning of year (1)	7,482	\$ 37.28	6,735	\$ 38.41	6,380	\$ 38.01
Granted	537	33.42	1,210	29.05	1,001	36.38
Exercised	(103)	27.96	(413)	31.34	(572)	30.06
Canceled	(109)	35.27	(50)	38.54	(74)	41.04
Outstanding-end of year (1)	7,807	37.17	7,482	37.28	6,735	38.41
Exercisable-end of year	6,491	38.25	5,537	39.34	4,803	39.36

(1) Shares of common stock available for future grants of options or awards amounted to 1,860,000 at September 30, 2003 and 2,853,000 at September 30, 2002. Exercise prices per share for options outstanding at September 30, 2003 ranged from \$25.00 to \$34.00 for 2,982,000 shares, from \$35.88 to \$43.13 for 3,500,000 shares, and from \$44.20 to \$53.38 for 1,325,000 shares. The weighted average remaining contractual life of the options was 5.6 years.

NOTE M - LITIGATION, CLAIMS AND CONTINGENCIES

ASBESTOS-RELATED LITIGATION

Ashland is subject to liabilities from claims alleging personal injury caused by exposure to asbestos. Virtually all of those liabilities result from indemnification obligations undertaken in 1990 in connection with the sale of Riley Stoker Corporation (Riley), a former subsidiary. Although Riley was neither a producer nor a manufacturer of asbestos, its industrial boilers contained some asbestos-containing components provided by other companies.

NOTE M - LITIGATION, CLAIMS AND CONTINGENCIES (CONTINUED)

A summary of asbestos claims activity follows. Because claims are frequently filed and settled in large groups, the amount and timing of settlements, as well as the number of open claims, can fluctuate significantly from period to period.

(In thousands)	2003	2002	2001
Open claims - beginning of year	160	167	118
New claims filed	66	45	52
Claims settled	(7)	(15)	(2)
Claims dismissed	(21)	(37)	(1)
Open claims - end of year	198	160	167

Since October 1, 2000, Riley has been dismissed as a defendant in 71% of the resolved claims. Amounts spent on litigation defense and claim settlements totaled \$45 million in 2003, \$38 million in 2002 and \$15 million in 2001.

During the December 2002 quarter, Ashland increased its reserve for asbestos claims by \$390 million to cover the litigation defense and claim settlement costs expected to be paid during the next ten years. Additional reserves were provided during the nine months ended September 30, 2003, to maintain the reserve at a level adequate to cover future payments over a rolling 10-year period. Prior to December 31, 2002, the asbestos reserve was based on the estimated costs that would be incurred to settle open claims. The estimates of future asbestos claims and related costs were developed with the assistance of Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Alschuler, Inc. (HR&A), nationally recognized experts in that field. Reflecting the additional provisions, Ashland's reserve for asbestos claims on an undiscounted basis amounted to \$610 million at September 30, 2003, compared to \$202 million at September 30, 2002.

The methodology used by HR&A to project future asbestos costs was based largely on Ashland's recent experience, including claim-filing and settlement rates, disease mix, open claims, and litigation defense and claim settlement costs. Ashland's claim experience was compared to the results of previously conducted epidemiological studies estimating the number of people likely to develop asbestos-related diseases. Those studies were undertaken in connection with national analyses of the population expected to have been exposed to asbestos. Using that information, HR&A estimated the number of future claims that would be filed, as well as the related costs that would be incurred in resolving those claims.

Projecting future asbestos costs is subject to numerous variables that are extremely difficult to predict. In addition to the significant uncertainties surrounding the number of claims that might be received, other variables include the type and severity of the disease alleged by each claimant, the long latency period associated with asbestos exposure, dismissal rates, costs of medical treatment, the impact of bankruptcies of other companies that are co-defendants in claims, uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, and the impact of potential changes in legislative or judicial standards. Furthermore, any predictions with respect to these variables are subject to even greater uncertainty as the projection period lengthens. In light of these inherent uncertainties, Ashland believes that ten years is the most reasonable period for recognizing a reserve for future costs, and that costs that might be incurred after that period are not reasonably estimable.

Insurance provides reimbursements for most of the litigation defense and claim settlement costs incurred, and coverage-in-place agreements exist with the insurance companies that provide substantially all of the coverage currently being accessed. As a result, the current year increases in the asbestos reserve were largely offset by probable insurance recoveries valued at \$257 million. The amounts not recoverable are generally due from insurers that are insolvent, rather than as a result of uninsured claims or the exhaustion of Ashland's insurance coverage. At September 30, 2003, Ashland's receivable for recoveries of such costs from its insurers amounted to \$429 million, of which \$26 million relates to costs previously paid. Receivables from insurance companies amounted to \$196 million at September 30, 2002.

Ashland retained the services of Tillinghast-Towers Perrin to assist management in the estimation of probable insurance recoveries. Such recoveries are based on assumptions and estimates surrounding the available insurance coverage, including the continued viability of all solvent insurance carriers. About 35% of the estimated receivables from insurance companies at September 30, 2003, are expected to be due from Equitas Limited (Equitas) and other London companies. Of the remainder, over 90% is expected to come from companies or groups that are rated A or higher by A. M. Best.

Although coverage limits are resolved in the coverage-in-place agreement with Equitas and other London companies, there is a disagreement with these companies over the timing of recoveries. The resolution of this disagreement could have a material effect on the value of insurance recoveries from those companies. In estimating

the value of future recoveries at September 30, 2003, Ashland used the least favorable interpretation of this agreement and will continue to do so until such time as the disagreement is resolved.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEEDINGS

Ashland is subject to various federal, state and local environmental laws and regulations that require environmental assessment or remediation efforts (collectively environmental remediation) at multiple locations. At September 30, 2003, such locations included 100 waste treatment or disposal sites where Ashland has been identified as a potentially responsible party under Superfund or similar state laws, approximately 135 current and former operating facilities (including certain operating facilities conveyed to MAP) and about 1,220 service station properties. Ashland's reserves for environmental remediation amounted to \$174 million at September 30, 2003, and \$169 million at September 30, 2002. Such amounts reflect Ashland's estimates of the most likely costs that will be incurred over an extended period to remediate identified conditions for which the costs are reasonably estimable, without regard to any third-party recoveries. Engineering studies, probability techniques, historical experience and other factors are used to identify and evaluate remediation alternatives and their related costs in determining the estimated reserves for environmental remediation.

Environmental remediation reserves are subject to numerous inherent uncertainties that affect Ashland's ability to estimate its share of the costs. Such uncertainties involve the nature and extent of contamination at each site, the extent of required cleanup efforts under existing environmental regulations, widely varying costs of alternate cleanup methods, changes in environmental regulations, the potential effect of continuing improvements in remediation technology, and the number and financial strength of other potentially responsible parties at multiparty sites. Ashland regularly adjusts its reserves as environmental remediation continues.

No individual remediation location is material to Ashland as its largest reserve for any site is less than 10% of the remediation reserve. As a result, Ashland's exposure to adverse developments with respect to any individual site is not expected to be material, and these sites are in various stages of ongoing remediation. Although environmental remediation could have a material effect on results of operations if a series of adverse developments occurs in a particular quarter or fiscal year, Ashland believes that the chance of such developments occurring in the same quarter or fiscal year is remote.

OTHER LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

In addition to the matters described above, there are various claims, lawsuits and administrative proceedings pending or threatened against Ashland and its current and former subsidiaries. Such actions are with respect to commercial matters, product liability, toxic tort liability, and other environmental matters, which seek remedies or damages, some of which are for substantial amounts. While these actions are being contested, their outcome is not predictable.

NOTE N - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Ashland is subject to liabilities from claims alleging personal injury caused by exposure to asbestos. Virtually all of those liabilities result from indemnification obligations undertaken in 1990 in connection with the sale of Riley Stoker Corporation, a former subsidiary. During the quarter ended December 31, 2002, Ashland increased its reserve for asbestos claims by \$390 million to cover litigation defense and claim settlement costs expected to be paid during the next ten years. Additional reserves were provided during the nine months ended September 30, 2003, to maintain the reserve at a level adequate to cover future payments over a rolling 10-year period. Because insurance provides reimbursements for most of these costs and coverage-in-place agreements exist with the insurance companies that provide substantially all of the coverage currently being accessed, these increases in the asbestos reserve are expected to be offset in part by probable insurance recoveries. The resulting \$178 million pretax charge to income, net of deferred income tax benefits of \$69 million, was reflected as an after-tax loss from discontinued operations of \$109 million in the Statements of Consolidated Income. See Note M for further discussion of Ashland's asbestos-related litigation.

On August 29, 2003, Ashland sold the net assets of its Electronic Chemicals business and certain related subsidiaries in a transaction valued at approximately \$300 million before tax. Electronic Chemicals was a part of Ashland Specialty Chemical, providing ultra pure chemicals and other products and services to the worldwide semiconductor industry, with revenues of \$215 million in 2003, \$217 million in 2002 and \$212 million in 2001. The sale reflects Ashland's strategy to optimize its business mix and focus greater attention on the remaining specialty chemical businesses and other transportation and construction-related operations where it can achieve strategic advantage. Ashland's after-tax proceeds were used primarily to reduce debt. All assets and liabilities of Electronic Chemicals are classified as current in the September 30, 2002 balance

sheet. Assets of \$211 million were composed of current assets of \$62 million, investments and other assets of \$23 million, and property, plant and equipment of

NOTE N - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS (CONTINUED)

\$126 million. Liabilities of \$39 million were composed of current liabilities of \$27 million and noncurrent liabilities of \$12 million.

In 2001, Ashland sold its remaining 4.7 million Arch Coal shares in a public offering for \$86 million and recorded reserves for asbestos-related litigation. Components of amounts reflected in the income statements related to discontinued operations are presented in the following table.

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
<hr/>			
INCOME FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS			
Reserves for asbestos-related litigation	\$ (178)	\$ -	\$ (23)
Electronic Chemicals	17	17	20
GAIN ON DISPOSAL OF DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS			
Electronic Chemicals	101	-	-
Arch Coal	-	-	49
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	(60)	17	46
INCOME TAXES			
Income from discontinued operations			
Reserves for asbestos-related litigation	69	-	9
Electronic Chemicals	(3)	(4)	(7)
Gain on disposal of discontinued operations	(20)	-	(16)
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
RESULTS FROM DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS	\$ (14)	\$ 13	\$ 32
	<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>

NOTE O - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT PLANS

Ashland and its subsidiaries sponsor noncontributory pension plans that cover substantially all employees. For certain plans, half of the balances in employees' accounts under an employee stock ownership plan are coordinated with their pension benefits. Ashland's objective is to fully fund the accumulated benefit obligations of its qualified plans, and the level of its contributions is determined annually to achieve that objective over time. During 2003, Ashland contributed \$61 million to its qualified pension plans, and an additional \$50 million was contributed on October 1, 2003. Ashland funds the costs of its nonqualified pension plans as the benefits are paid.

Prior to July 1, 2003, benefits under Ashland's pension plans were generally based on employees' years of service and compensation during the years immediately preceding their retirement. Although certain changes were implemented on that date, the pension benefits of employees with at least ten years of service were not affected. As of July 1, 2003, the pension benefits of most other employees were converted to cash balance accounts. Such employees received an initial account balance equal to the present value of their accrued benefits under the previous plan on that date. Although individual plans have varying provisions, employees with cash balance accounts generally receive either fixed pay credits or variable pay credits ranging from 3% to 16% of pay based on their age plus vested service. Their accounts are also credited with interest based on the one-year U. S. Treasury rate plus 1%, subject to a minimum annual crediting rate of 4% and a maximum of 7%. Pension benefits for these employees will be based on the balances in their accounts upon retirement.

Ashland and its subsidiaries also sponsor healthcare and life insurance plans for eligible employees who retire or are disabled. Ashland's retiree life insurance plans are noncontributory, while Ashland shares the costs of providing healthcare coverage with its retired employees through premiums, deductibles and coinsurance provisions. Ashland funds its share of the costs of the postretirement benefit plans as the benefits are paid.

As of July 1, 2003, Ashland implemented changes in the way it will share the cost of healthcare coverage with future retirees. Those changes did not affect the previous cost-sharing program for retirees or for employees meeting certain qualifications (based on age and years of service) at that date. However, Ashland did amend that program to limit its annual per capita costs to an amount equivalent to base year per capita costs, plus annual increases of up to 1.5% per year for costs incurred after January 1, 2004. Under a previous amendment, base year costs were limited to the amounts incurred in 1992, plus annual increases of up to 4.5% per year thereafter. Premiums for retiree healthcare coverage are equivalent to the excess of the estimated per capita costs over the amounts borne by Ashland.

Employees not meeting the required qualifications were allocated notional accounts based on their age and years of service that can only be used to pay all or part of the premiums for retiree healthcare coverage. Such premiums represent the full costs of providing that coverage, without any subsidy from Ashland. The notional accounts are credited with interest based on the one-year U. S. Treasury rate plus 1%, subject to a minimum annual crediting rate of 4% and a maximum of 7%. Retirees will continue to have access to Ashland coverage after their notional accounts are exhausted, but they will be responsible for paying the full premiums.

Summaries of the changes in the benefit obligations and plan assets (primarily listed stocks and debt securities) and of the funded status of the plans follow. Pension benefit obligations under the qualified plans at September 30, 2003 were reduced by approximately \$82 million, which represents the amount expected to be funded by the balances in the employees' accounts under an employee stock ownership plan.

(In millions)	Pension benefits				Other postretirement benefits	
	2003		2002		2003	2002
	Qualified plans	Non-qualified plans	Qualified plans	Non-qualified plans		
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS						
Benefit obligations at October 1	\$ 874	\$ 109	\$ 715	\$ 103	\$ 361	\$ 333
Service cost	42	1	42	1	11	12
Interest cost	58	7	52	7	22	23
Retiree contributions	-	-	-	-	12	8
Benefits paid	(33)	(4)	(30)	(4)	(33)	(33)
Plan amendments	(6)	-	-	-	(95)	-
Changes in assumptions	58	5	59	7	19	19
Other-net	9	(19)	36	(5)	(1)	(1)
Benefit obligations at September 30	\$ 1,002	\$ 99	\$ 874	\$ 109	\$ 296	\$ 361
CHANGE IN PLAN ASSETS						
Value of plan assets at October 1	\$ 551		\$ 518			
Actual return on plan assets	99		(42)			
Employer contributions	61		103			
Benefits paid	(33)		(30)			
Other	2		2			
Value of plan assets at September 30	\$ 680		\$ 551			
FUNDED STATUS OF THE PLANS						
Unfunded accumulated obligation	\$ 139	\$ 90	\$ 150	\$ 98	\$ 296	\$ 361
Provision for future salary increases	183	9	173	11	-	-
Excess of obligations over plan assets	322	99	323	109	296	361
Unrecognized actuarial loss	(344)	(41)	(354)	(43)	(87)	(72)
Unrecognized prior service credit (cost)	3	-	(2)	-	100	15
Net liability recognized	\$ (19)	\$ 58	\$ (33)	\$ 66	\$ 309	\$ 304
BALANCE SHEET LIABILITIES (ASSETS)						
Accrued benefit liabilities	\$ 231		\$ 250		\$ 309	\$ 304
Intangible assets	(1)		(2)		-	-
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(191)		(215)		-	-
Net liability recognized	\$ 39		\$ 33		\$ 309	\$ 304
ASSUMPTIONS AS OF SEPTEMBER 30						
Discount rate	6.25%		6.75%		6.25%	6.75%
Salary adjustment rate	4.50		5.00		-	-

NOTE 0 - EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (CONTINUED)

The following table details the components of pension and other postretirement benefit costs.

(In millions)	Pension benefits			Other postretirement benefits		
	2003	2002	2001	2003	2002	2001
Service cost	\$ 43	\$ 43	\$ 37	\$ 11	\$ 12	\$ 11
Interest cost	65	59	53	22	23	22
Expected return on plan assets (9%)	(51)	(47)	(48)	-	-	-
Other amortization and deferral	30	19	4	(7)	(6)	(6)
	<u>\$ 87</u>	<u>\$ 74</u>	<u>\$ 46</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$ 29</u>	<u>\$ 27</u>

The changes previously discussed in the postretirement benefit plans reduced Ashland's accrued obligations under those plans, and the reductions are being amortized to income. Such amortization reduced Ashland's costs for postretirement benefit costs by \$10 million in 2003, \$8 million in 2002 and \$9 million in 2001. At September 30, 2003, the remaining unrecognized prior service credit resulting from the changes amounted to \$100 million, and will reduce future costs by \$15 million in 2004, \$9 million in 2005 and about \$8 million annually thereafter through 2014.

OTHER PLANS

Ashland sponsors a qualified savings plan to assist eligible employees in providing for retirement or other future needs. Under that plan, Ashland contributes up to 5.5% (increased from 4.2% at July 1, 2003) of a participating employee's earnings. Company contributions amounted to \$19 million in 2003, \$17 million in 2002 and \$16 million in 2001.

Certain union employees are covered under multiemployer pension plans administered by unions. Amounts contributed to the plans by Ashland and charged to expense amounted to \$5 million annually in 2003, 2002 and 2001.

NOTE P - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

The following table presents quarterly financial information and per share data relative to Ashland's common stock. Amounts for quarters prior to June 2003 have been restated to reflect the results of the Electronic Chemicals division of Ashland Specialty Chemical as a discontinued operation. See Note N for further explanations.

Quarters ended	December 31		March 31		June 30		September 30	
	2002	2001 (1)	2003	2002 (1)	2003	2002	2003	2002
(In millions except per share data)								
Sales and operating revenues	\$ 1,738	\$ 1,765	\$ 1,644	\$ 1,553	\$ 2,006	\$ 1,997	\$ 2,130	\$ 2,033
Operating income (loss)	32	96	(24)	(3)	138	132	119	96
Income (loss) from continuing operations	(1)	37	(37)	(23)	71	61	61	41
Net income (loss)	(92)	27	(39)	(21)	70	65	137	47
Basic earnings (loss) per share								
Continuing operations	\$ (.02)	\$.53	\$ (.54)	\$ (.33)	\$ 1.04	\$.88	\$.89	\$.59
Net income (loss)	(1.35)	.38	(.57)	(.31)	1.02	.94	2.00	.68
Diluted earnings (loss) per share								
Continuing operations	\$ (.02)	\$.52	\$ (.54)	\$ (.33)	\$ 1.03	\$.87	\$.89	\$.59
Net income (loss)	(1.35)	.38	(.57)	(.31)	1.01	.93	1.99	.68
Common cash dividends per share	\$.275	\$.275	\$.275	\$.275	\$.275	\$.275	\$.275	\$.275
Market price per common share								
High	\$ 30.80	\$ 46.54	\$ 30.37	\$ 46.98	\$ 33.85	\$ 45.61	\$ 34.51	\$ 41.20
Low	23.60	37.60	25.91	43.04	28.66	37.11	30.27	26.29

(1) MAP maintains an inventory valuation reserve to reduce the LIFO cost of its inventories to their net realizable values. Adjustments in that reserve are recognized quarterly based on changes in petroleum product prices, creating non-cash charges or credits to Ashland's earnings. A pretax charge of \$29 million (\$18 million after tax, or \$.26 per share) was recognized in the December 2001 quarter and reversed in the March 2002 quarter as a result of these adjustments.

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
INFORMATION BY INDUSTRY SEGMENT
Years Ended September 30

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
REVENUES			
Sales and operating revenues			
APAC	\$ 2,400	\$ 2,652	\$ 2,624
Ashland Distribution	2,804	2,535	2,849
Ashland Specialty Chemical	1,170	1,094	1,055
Valvoline	1,235	1,152	1,092
Intersegment sales (1)			
Ashland Distribution	(21)	(20)	(26)
Ashland Specialty Chemical	(69)	(63)	(64)
Valvoline	(1)	(2)	(2)
	-----	-----	-----
	7,518	7,348	7,528
Equity income			
APAC	9	-	-
Ashland Specialty Chemical	7	4	5
Valvoline	-	1	1
Refining and Marketing	285	176	749
	-----	-----	-----
	301	181	755
Other income			
APAC	-	12	13
Ashland Distribution	19	17	15
Ashland Specialty Chemical	10	4	6
Valvoline	5	7	6
Refining and Marketing	2	2	7
Corporate	10	5	6
	-----	-----	-----
	46	47	53
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 7,865	\$ 7,576	\$ 8,336
	=====	=====	=====
OPERATING INCOME			
APAC	\$ (42)	\$ 122	\$ 55
Ashland Distribution	32	1	35
Ashland Specialty Chemical	31	70	38
Valvoline	87	77	81
Refining and Marketing (2)	263	143	707
Corporate	(105)	(92)	(85)
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 266	\$ 321	\$ 831
	=====	=====	=====
ASSETS			
APAC	\$ 1,481	\$ 1,498	\$ 1,574
Ashland Distribution	856	884	961
Ashland Specialty Chemical	749	941	941
Valvoline	667	611	642
Refining and Marketing	2,484	2,409	2,452
Corporate (3)	769	379	558
	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 7,006	\$ 6,722	\$ 7,128
	=====	=====	=====

(In millions)	2003	2002	2001
INVESTMENT IN EQUITY AFFILIATES			
APAC	\$ 4	\$ (2)	\$ -
Ashland Specialty Chemical	35	30	30
Valvoline	8	9	9
Refining and Marketing	2,448	2,350	2,387
	<u>\$ 2,495</u>	<u>\$ 2,387</u>	<u>\$ 2,426</u>
EXPENSE (INCOME) NOT AFFECTING CASH			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization			
APAC	\$ 108	\$ 114	\$ 133
Ashland Distribution	19	21	27
Ashland Specialty Chemical	40	38	46
Valvoline	26	24	23
Corporate	11	11	11
	<u>204</u>	<u>208</u>	<u>240</u>
Other noncash items (4)			
APAC	(25)	24	14
Ashland Distribution	3	1	(1)
Ashland Specialty Chemical	(2)	3	3
Valvoline	4	(2)	4
Refining and Marketing	2	(168)	21
Corporate	(30)	41	24
	<u>(48)</u>	<u>(101)</u>	<u>65</u>
	<u>\$ 156</u>	<u>\$ 107</u>	<u>\$ 305</u>
ADDITIONS TO PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT			
APAC	\$ 47	\$ 107	\$ 92
Ashland Distribution	5	12	15
Ashland Specialty Chemical	34	27	38
Valvoline	16	21	29
Corporate	8	7	12
	<u>\$ 110</u>	<u>\$ 174</u>	<u>\$ 186</u>

- (1) Intersegment sales are accounted for at prices that approximate market value.
- (2) Includes Ashland's equity income from MAP, amortization related to Ashland's excess investment in MAP, and other activities associated with refining and marketing.
- (3) Includes cash, cash equivalents and other unallocated assets.
- (4) Includes deferred income taxes, equity income from affiliates net of distributions, and other items not affecting cash.

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
FIVE-YEAR SELECTED FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Years Ended September 30

(In millions except per share data)	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS					
Revenues					
Sales and operating revenues	\$ 7,518	\$ 7,348	\$ 7,528	\$ 7,771	\$ 6,623
Equity income	301	181	755	395	350
Other income	46	47	53	63	88
Cost and expenses					
Cost of sales and operating expenses	(6,005)	(5,736)	(6,016)	(6,157)	(5,118)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(1,390)	(1,311)	(1,249)	(1,200)	(1,113)
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	(204)	(208)	(240)	(227)	(219)
Operating income	266	321	831	645	611
Net interest and other financial costs	(128)	(138)	(175)	(194)	(140)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	138	183	656	451	471
Income taxes	(44)	(68)	(266)	(179)	(188)
Income from continuing operations	94	115	390	272	283
Results from discontinued operations	(14)	13	32	(202)	7
Income before cumulative effect of accounting changes	80	128	422	70	290
Cumulative effect of accounting changes	(5)	(11)	(5)	-	-
Net income	\$ 75	\$ 117	\$ 417	\$ 70	\$ 290
BALANCE SHEET INFORMATION					
Current assets	\$ 2,085	\$ 2,071	\$ 2,233	\$ 2,173	\$ 2,060
Current liabilities	1,484	1,520	1,530	1,711	1,398
Working capital	\$ 601	\$ 551	\$ 703	\$ 462	\$ 662
Total assets	\$ 7,006	\$ 6,722	\$ 7,128	\$ 6,824	\$ 6,475
Short-term debt	\$ -	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ 245	\$ 182
Long-term debt (including current portion)	1,614	1,797	1,871	1,981	1,664
Stockholders' equity	2,253	2,173	2,226	1,965	2,200
Capital employed	\$ 3,867	\$ 3,980	\$ 4,097	\$ 4,191	\$ 4,046
CASH FLOW INFORMATION					
Cash flows from operations	\$ 242	\$ 163	\$ 814	\$ 457	\$ 373
Additions to property, plant and equipment	110	174	186	214	232
Cash dividends	75	76	76	78	81
COMMON STOCK INFORMATION					
Diluted earnings per share					
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.37	\$ 1.64	\$ 5.54	\$ 3.83	\$ 3.80
Net income	1.10	1.67	5.93	.98	3.89
Cash dividends per share	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10	1.10

Ashland Inc. and Consolidated Subsidiaries
 SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

(In millions)	Balance at beginning of year	Provisions charged to earnings	Reserves utilized	Other changes	Balance at end of year

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2003					
Reserves deducted from asset accounts					
Accounts receivable	\$ 34	\$ 18	\$ (18)	\$ 1	\$ 35
Inventories	12	2	(5)	-	9

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2002					
Reserves deducted from asset accounts					
Accounts receivable	\$ 33	\$ 23	\$ (23)	\$ 1	\$ 34
Inventories	12	5	(5)	-	12

YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001					
Reserves deducted from asset accounts					
Accounts receivable	\$ 25	\$ 33	\$ (25)	\$ -	\$ 33
Inventories	10	4	(2)	-	12

Exhibit Index

Exhibit No.	Description
10.7	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Ashland Inc. and members of its Board of Directors.
12	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
21	List of subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of independent auditors.
24	Power of Attorney, including resolutions of the Board of Directors.
31.1	Certificate of James J. O'Brien, Chief Executive Officer of Ashland, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certificate of J. Marvin Quin, Chief Financial Officer of Ashland, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certificate of James J. O'Brien, Chief Executive Officer of Ashland, and J. Marvin Quin, Chief Financial Officer of Ashland, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

INDEMNIFICATION AGREEMENT

This Agreement is made this _____ day of _____, 200____ between ASHLAND INC., a Kentucky corporation ("Company"), and the undersigned individual ("Director").

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, Director is a member of the Board of Directors of Company and in such capacity is performing a valuable service for Company; and

WHEREAS, Article X of the Third Restated Articles of Incorporation of Company (the "Article") authorizes Company to indemnify directors of Company to the maximum extent permitted by law; and

WHEREAS, the Article authorizes Company to enter into contracts with members of its Board of Directors with respect to indemnification of such directors; and

WHEREAS, recent developments with respect to the applications and enforcement of indemnification provisions and the availability of insurance to protect directors against liabilities generally have raised questions concerning the adequacy and reliability of the protection afforded to directors thereby; and

WHEREAS, to provide greater certainty with respect to Director's right to indemnification and the payment thereof, and thereby induce Director to serve as a member of the Board of Directors of Company, Company has determined and agreed to enter into this Agreement with Director.

Now, THEREFORE, in consideration of Director's agreement to serve as a Director after the date of this Agreement, Company and Director agree as follows:

1. INDEMNITY OF DIRECTOR. Subject only to the exclusions set forth in Sections 2 and 12 of this Agreement, Company hereby agrees to hold harmless and indemnify Director against any and all reasonable costs and expenses (including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees) and any liabilities (including, but not limited to, judgments, fines, penalties and reasonable settlements) paid by or on behalf of, or imposed against, Director in connection with any threatened, pending or completed claim, action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, legislative, investigative or other (including any appeal relating thereto) and whether made or brought by or in the right of Company or otherwise, in which Director is, was or at any time becomes a party or witness, or is threatened to be made a party or witness, or otherwise, by reason of the fact that Director is, was or at any time becomes a director, officer, employee or agent of Company or a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee or agent of an Affiliate of Company, as hereafter defined, or any employee benefit plan maintained by Company or any Affiliates of Company. As used in this Agreement, an Affiliate of Company means any corporation, partnership or other entity which, directly or indirectly, controls, is controlled by or is under common control with Company.

2. LIMITATIONS ON INDEMNITY. No indemnity pursuant to Section 1 of this Agreement shall be paid by Company:

A. if a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final adjudication on the merits, in an action, suit or proceeding in which Director is a party, that [i] such indemnification is prohibited by law; or [ii] in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of the Company in which the Director was adjudged liable to the Company; or

B. in connection with any transaction with respect to which a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final adjudication on the merits, in an action, suit or proceeding in which Director is a party, [i] that Director's personal financial interest was in conflict with the financial interests of Company or its shareholders, or [ii] that Director derived an improper personal benefit; or

C. on account of acts or omissions of Director to the extent a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final adjudication on the merits, in an action, suit or proceeding in which Director is a party, that such acts or omissions [i] were not in good faith, or [ii] involved intentional misconduct, or [iii] were known to Director to be a violation of law; or

D. in respect of any liability to Company, to the extent that a court of competent jurisdiction renders a final adjudication on the merits, in an action, suit or proceeding in which Director is a party, that such liability to Company arises under any federal or state statute providing for liability directly to Company by reason of the fact that Director is or was a director of Company, including, by way of example and not limitation, liability under Section 16(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"); or

E. to the extent and only to the extent that, prior to a Change of Control, as hereinafter defined, a majority of the Board of Directors of

Company or a duly designated committee thereof, in either case consisting of directors who are not at the time parties to the claim, action, suit or proceeding against Director, determines that the amount of expenses and/or settlements for which indemnification is sought is unreasonable; or

F. in connection with any claim, suit, action or proceeding [i] if such claim, action, suit or proceeding was initiated by Director or his or her personal or legal representative, or involved the voluntary solicitation or intervention by Director or his or her personal or legal representative (other than an action to enforce indemnification rights or an action initiated with the approval of a majority of the Board of Directors who are not at the time parties to the claim, action, suit or proceeding), or [ii] to the extent that such claim, action, suit or proceeding arose as a result of acts or omissions of Director occurring prior to the date of this Agreement.

For purposes of this Agreement, a "Change in Control" shall be deemed to have occurred if [i] any "person" (as such term is used in Sections 13(d) and 14(d) of the Exchange Act), other than a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of Company or a corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the shareholders of Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock of Company, is or becomes the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Exchange Act), directly or indirectly of securities of Company representing 20% or more of the combined voting power of Company's then outstanding voting securities; or [ii] during any period of twenty-four (24) consecutive months (not including any period prior to the date of this Agreement), individuals who at the beginning of such period constituted the Board of Directors of Company and any new director (other than a director designated by a person who has entered into an agreement with Company to effect a transaction described in clauses [ii] or [iii] of this Paragraph) whose election by the Board of Directors or nomination for election by Company's shareholders was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of the period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the Board of Directors or [iii] the shareholders of Company approve a merger or consolidation of Company with any other corporation, other than (a) a merger or consolidation of the Company into or with a direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, or (b) a merger or consolidation which would result in the voting securities of the Company outstanding or converted into voting securities of the surviving entity being at least 70% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of Company or such surviving entity outstanding immediately after such merger or consolidation; or [iv] the shareholders of Company approve a plan of complete liquidation of Company or an agreement for the sale or disposition by Company of all or substantially all of the assets owned by Company, whether directly or indirectly; provided, however, that no sale or disposition of all or substantially all of the assets owned by Company shall be deemed to occur unless assets constituting 80% of the total assets of the Company are transferred pursuant to such sale or disposition. Notwithstanding the foregoing, any transaction, or series of transactions, that shall result in the disposition of Company's interest in Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, including without limitation any transaction arising out of that certain Put/Call, Registration Rights and Standstill Agreement dated January 1, 1998 among Marathon Oil Company, USX Corporation, the Company and Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC, as amended from time to time, shall not be deemed to constitute a Change in Control.

3. CONTINUATION OF INDEMNITY. All agreements and obligations of Company contained in this Agreement shall continue during the period Director serves in any capacity entitling Director to indemnification under this Agreement and shall continue thereafter so long as Director shall be subject to any possible claim or threatened, pending or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, legislative or investigative, or other, arising as a result of acts or omissions occurring during the period Director served as a director of Company.

4. NOTIFICATION OF CLAIM. It shall be a condition precedent to indemnification under this Agreement that, within twenty days after receipt by Director of actual notice that Director is or will be a party, witness or otherwise involved in any threatened or pending action, suit or proceeding described in Section 1 of this Agreement, Director shall have notified Company in writing of the assertion or commencement thereof; but the omission to so notify Company will not relieve it from any liability which it may have to Director otherwise than under this Agreement.

5. ADVANCEMENT OF COSTS AND EXPENSES. The costs and expenses incurred by Director in investigating, defending or appealing any threatened or pending claim or any threatened or pending action, suit or proceeding described in Section 1 of this Agreement shall, at the written request of Director, be paid by Company within ten (10) days after receiving copies of invoices presented to Director for such costs and expenses in advance of final judgment or settlement with the understanding, undertaking and agreement hereby made and entered into by Director and Company, that Director shall, if it is ultimately determined in accordance with Section 2 or pursuant to Section 12 that Director is not entitled to be indemnified, or was not entitled to be fully indemnified, repay to Company such amount, or the appropriate portion thereof, so paid or advanced. Such advancements shall be made at least quarterly.

6. ENFORCEMENT. If a claim for payment under this Agreement is not paid in full by Company within ninety days after a written demand has been delivered by Director to Company, or within thirty days after delivery of a written demand by Director to Company based upon a final and unappealable judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction, Director may at any time thereafter bring suit against Company to recover the unpaid amount of the claim and, if successful in whole or in part, Director shall also be entitled to be paid all costs and expenses (including but not limited to attorneys' fees) incurred by Director in prosecuting such suit. In any suit brought by Director to enforce this Agreement, the burden of proof shall be on Company to establish that Director is not entitled to the relief sought under this Agreement.

7. ESTABLISHMENT OF SECURITY. In the event of a Potential Change in Control, as hereafter defined, Company shall, upon written request of Director, obtain an irrevocable letter of credit issued by a commercial bank, satisfactory to Director, which letter of credit shall be in the amount of \$10,000,000, shall have a term of ten years, shall name Director, and Director's spouse, heirs and personal and legal representatives as beneficiary and shall permit Director, and Director's heirs and personal and legal representatives to draw thereunder from time to time such amounts as are due and owing to Director under this Agreement, whether in the form of an advancement or indemnification or otherwise, upon delivery of Director's certificate to the effect that Director is entitled to be paid such amounts pursuant to the terms of this Agreement. The issuer of the letter of credit shall be chosen by

Director and all expenses, fees and other disbursements incurred in connection with the issuance and enforcement of such letter of credit shall be paid by Company. Obtaining a letter of credit shall not relieve Company of any of its obligations under this Agreement.

The parties acknowledge that Director will have no adequate remedy at law if Company breaches its obligations under this Section 7, and agree that, in addition to any other remedies which may be available, Director shall be entitled to the equitable remedy of specific performance in the event of a breach or threatened breach by Company of its obligations hereunder. Director and Company further agree that a monetary remedy for breach of this Agreement, at some later date, will be inadequate, impracticable and difficult to prove and further agree that such breach would cause Director irreparable harm. Accordingly, Director and Company agree that Director shall be entitled to temporary and permanent injunctive relief to enforce this Agreement without the necessity of proving actual damages or irreparable harm. Director and Company further agree that Director shall be entitled to such injunctive relief, including temporary restraining orders, preliminary injunctions and permanent injunctions, without the necessity of posting bond or other undertaking in connection therewith. Any such requirement of bond or undertaking is hereby waived by Company.

For purposes of this Agreement, a "Potential Change in Control" shall be deemed to have occurred if [i] Company enters into an agreement, the consummation of which would result in the occurrence of a Change in Control; [ii] any person, other than a trustee or other fiduciary holding securities under an employee benefit plan of Company or a corporation owned, directly or indirectly, by the stockholders of Company in substantially the same proportions as their ownership of stock of Company, who is on the date hereof, or hereafter becomes, the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of securities of Company representing fifteen percent (15%) or more of the combined voting power of Company's then outstanding voting securities, hereafter or thereafter increases its beneficial ownership of such securities by one-half percent (.5%) or more over the percentage so owned by such person on the date hereof or on the date of becoming such a beneficial owner; or [iii] the Board of Directors of Company adopts a resolution to the effect that, for purposes of this Agreement, a Potential Change in Control has occurred.

8. CONTRIBUTION. If the full indemnity provided in Section 1 of this Agreement may not be paid to Director because of any exclusion in Section 2 of this Agreement, then in respect of any actual or threatened claim, action, suit or proceeding in which Company is jointly liable with Director (or would be if joined in such claim) Company shall contribute to the amount of expenses and liabilities incurred by Director in such proportion as is appropriate to reflect [i] the relative benefits received by Company on the one hand and Director on the other hand from the acts or omissions from which such claim, action, suit or proceeding arose and [ii] the relative fault of Company, including its other directors, officers, agents, employees and other representatives, on the one hand and of Director on the other hand in connection with the acts or omissions which resulted in such claim, action, suit or proceeding, as well as any other relevant equitable considerations. The relative

fault of Company, including its other directors, officers, agents, employees and other representatives, on the one hand and of Director on the other hand shall be determined by reference to, among other things, the parties' relative intent, knowledge, access to information and opportunity to correct or prevent the circumstances resulting in such claim, action, suit or proceeding. Company agrees that it would not be just and equitable if contribution pursuant to this Section 8 were determined by pro rata allocation or any other method of allocation which does not take into account the foregoing equitable considerations.

9. PARTIAL INDEMNITY. If Director is entitled under any provision of this Agreement to indemnification by Company for some or a portion of the costs, expenses, judgments, fines, penalties and amounts paid in settlement, but not for the total amount thereof, Company shall nevertheless indemnify Director for the portion thereof to which Director is entitled.

10. NON-EXCLUSIVITY. The rights of Director under this Agreement shall be in addition to any other rights Director may have under the Third Restated Articles of Incorporation or By-laws of Company, both as amended, agreement, vote of shareholders or disinterested directors, as a matter of law or otherwise.

11. SUBROGATION. In the event of any payment under this Agreement, Company shall be subrogated to the extent of such payment to all of the rights of recovery of Director, who shall execute all papers required and shall do everything that may be necessary to secure such rights, including the execution of such documents necessary to enable Company effectively to bring suit to enforce such rights.

12. NO DUPLICATION OF PAYMENTS. Company shall not be liable under this Agreement to make any payment to the extent Director has otherwise actually received payment (under any insurance policy, By-law or otherwise) of the amounts otherwise payable by Company under this Agreement. Director shall use best efforts to collect from all third parties any amounts otherwise payable by Company under this Agreement. If Director is entitled to but has not received payment from a third party (under any insurance policy or otherwise) of amounts otherwise payable by the Company under this Agreement, Company shall nevertheless pay Director such amounts with the understanding, undertaking and agreement hereby made and entered into by Director and Company that Director will repay to Company such amounts to the extent they are ultimately paid to Director by such third party.

13. BINDING EFFECT. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the parties hereto and their respective successors and assigns, including any direct or indirect successor by purchase, merger, consolidation or otherwise to all or substantially all of the business and/or assets of Company, heirs, and personal and legal representatives; provided, however, that this Agreement is personal to Director and may not be transferred or encumbered by Director in any way.

14. SEVERABILITY. The provisions of this Agreement shall be severable in the event that any of the provisions hereof (including any provision within a single

section, paragraph or sentence) are held by a court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void or otherwise unenforceable, and the remaining provisions shall remain enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law.

15. CHANGE IN LAW. To the extent that a change in Kentucky law (whether by statute or judicial decision) shall permit broader indemnification or advancement of expenses than is provided under the terms of the By-laws of Company and this Agreement, Director shall be entitled to such broader indemnification and advancements, and this Agreement shall be deemed to be amended to such extent.

16. GOVERNING LAW; AMENDMENT.

A. This Agreement shall be interpreted and enforced in accordance with the laws of the Commonwealth of Kentucky.

B. Except as provided in paragraph 15 hereof, no amendment, modification, termination or cancellation of this Agreement shall be effective unless in writing signed by both parties hereto.

17. NOTICES. Any notice to Company or Director under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be delivered personally or sent by overnight courier service or certified mail:

If to Company: Ashland Inc.
50 E. RiverCenter Blvd.
P.O. Box 391
Covington, Kentucky 41012-0391
Attn: Secretary

If to Director: NAME
ADDRESS

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement on and as of the day and year first above written.

ASHLAND INC.

By: _____

Title: Chairman of the Board and Chief
Executive Officer

Director:

NAME

ASHLAND INC.
 COMPUTATION OF RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES
 (In millions)

	Years ended September 30				
	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003
EARNINGS					

Income from continuing operations	\$ 283	\$ 272	\$ 390	\$ 115	\$ 94
Income taxes	188	179	266	68	44
Interest expense	141	189	160	133	121
Interest portion of rental expense	34	39	40	35	33
Amortization of deferred debt expense	1	2	2	2	2
Distributions in excess of (less than) earnings of unconsolidated affiliates	(11)	(113)	(91)	20	(98)
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 636	\$ 568	\$ 767	\$ 373	\$ 196
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
FIXED CHARGES					

Interest expense	\$ 141	\$ 189	\$ 160	\$ 133	\$ 121
Interest portion of rental expense	34	39	40	35	33
Amortization of deferred debt expense	1	2	2	2	2
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 176	\$ 230	\$ 202	\$ 170	\$ 156
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====
RATIO OF EARNINGS TO FIXED CHARGES	3.61	2.47	3.80	2.19	1.26

LIST OF SUBSIDIARIES

Subsidiaries of Ashland Inc. ("AI") at September 30, 2003, included the companies listed below. Ashland has numerous unconsolidated affiliates, which are primarily accounted for on the equity method, and majority-owned consolidated subsidiaries in addition to the companies listed below. Such affiliates and subsidiaries are not listed below since they would not constitute a significant subsidiary considered in the aggregate as a single entity.

Company -----	Jurisdiction of Incorporation -----	Immediate Parent* -----
APAC-Arkansas, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Atlantic, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Kansas, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Mississippi, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Missouri, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Oklahoma, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Southeast, Inc.....	Georgia	APAC
APAC-Tennessee, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
APAC-Texas, Inc.....	Delaware	APAC
ASH GP LLC ("ASH GP").....	Delaware	AIHI
ASH LP LLC (ASH LP).....	Delaware	AIHI
Ashland Canada Corp.	Nova Scotia, Canada	ACHBV
Ashland Canada Holdings B.V. ("ACHBV").....	Netherlands	AHBV
Ashland Chemical Hispania, S.L.....	Spain	AI
Ashland France SAS.....	France	AHBV
Ashland Holdings B.V. ("AHBV").....	Netherlands	ATCV
Ashland International Holdings, Inc. ("AIHI").....	Delaware	AI
Ashland Italia S.p.A.....	Italy	ATCV 95% - AOCV 5%
Ashland Nederland B.V.....	Netherlands	AHBV
Ashland Paving And Construction, Inc. ("APAC").....	Delaware	AI
Ashland Services B.V. ("ASBV").....	Netherlands	AHBV
Ashland UK Limited.....	United Kingdom	AHBV
Ashmont Insurance Company, Inc.	Vermont	AI
AshOne C.V. ("AOCV")	Netherlands	ASH LP 1% - AIHI 98% - ASH GP 1%
AshTwo C.V. ("ATCV").....	Netherlands	AIHI 10% - AOCV 89% - ASH GP 1%
Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC.....	Delaware	AI 38%
Valvoline (Australia) Pty. Limited.....	Australia	AHBV

*100% of the voting securities are owned by the immediate parent except as otherwise indicated.

CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-52125) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. Deferred Compensation and Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors, in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 2-95022) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. Amended Stock Incentive Plan for Key Employees, in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-32612) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. Employee Savings Plan, in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-55922) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. 1993 Stock Incentive Plan, in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-49907) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. Leveraged Employee Stock Ownership Plan, in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 33-62901) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. Deferred Compensation Plan, in the Registration Statement (Form S-8 No. 333-33617) pertaining to the Ashland Inc. 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-78675) pertaining to the registration of 68,925 shares of Ashland Inc. Common Stock, in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-36842) pertaining to the registration of 96,600 shares of Ashland Inc. Common Stock, in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-54762) pertaining to the registration of 149,300 shares of Ashland Inc. Common Stock, in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-82830) pertaining to the registration of 265,100 shares of Ashland Inc. Common Stock, in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-105396) pertaining to the registration of 296,385 shares of Ashland Inc. Common Stock, and in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-69138) pertaining to the offering of \$600,000,000 of Debt Securities, Preferred Stock, Depository Shares, Common Stock and/or Warrants of Ashland Inc., of our report dated November 24, 2003, with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedule of Ashland Inc. and consolidated subsidiaries included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended September 30, 2003.

/s/ Ernst & Young

Cincinnati, Ohio
November 24, 2003

ASHLAND INC.

Certificate of Assistant Secretary

The undersigned hereby certifies that she is an Assistant Secretary of Ashland Inc., a Kentucky corporation (the "Corporation"), and that, as such, she is authorized to execute this Certificate on behalf of the Corporation and further certifies that:

- (a) Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of an excerpt from the minutes of the meeting of the Board of Directors of the Corporation held on November 6, 2003, setting forth certain actions taken at such meeting, and the powers and authorities granted pursuant to such actions have at all times been in effect without amendment, waiver, rescission or modification since November 6, 2003.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of the Corporation on this 1st day of December, 2003.

/s/ Jami K. Suver

 Jami K. Suver
 Assistant Secretary

[SEAL]

Exhibit A

ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K

RESOLVED, that the Corporation's Annual Report to the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on Form 10-K (the "Form 10-K") in the form previously circulated to the Board in preparation for this meeting be, and it hereby is, approved with such changes as the Chief Executive Officer, any Vice President, the Secretary or the Corporation's counsel ("Authorized Persons") shall approve, the execution and filing of the Form 10-K with the SEC to be conclusive evidence of such approval; provided, however, that without derogating from the binding effect of the above, it is understood that an Authorized Person shall cause the distribution prior to the filing with the SEC, of a copy of such Form 10-K to the directors in substantially that form which is to be filed with the SEC and that each director shall have the opportunity to review with and comment to an Authorized Person prior to such filing;

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Authorized Persons be, and each of them hereby is, authorized to file with the SEC the Form 10-K and any amendments thereto on Form 10-K/A and/or any other applicable form; and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Authorized Persons be, and each of them hereby is, authorized to take all such further actions as in their judgment may be necessary or advisable to accomplish the purposes of the foregoing resolutions.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each of the undersigned Directors and Officers of ASHLAND INC., a Kentucky corporation, which is about to file an Annual Report on Form 10-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission under the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, hereby constitutes and appoints JAMES J. O'BRIEN, DAVID L. HAUSRATH and LINDA L. FOSS, and each of them, his or her true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power to act without the others to sign and file such Annual Report and the exhibits thereto and any and all other documents in connection therewith, and any such amendments thereto, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and to do and perform any and all acts and things requisite and necessary to be done in connection with the foregoing as fully as he or she might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents, or any of them, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Dated: November 6, 2003

/s/ James J. O'Brien

James J. O'Brien, Chairman of the Board
and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ J. Marvin Quin

J. Marvin Quin, Senior Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer

/s/ Kenneth L. Aulen

Kenneth L. Aulen, Administrative
Vice President, Controller and
Principal Accounting Officer

/s/ Ernest H. Drew

Ernest H. Drew, Director

/s/ Roger W. Hale

Roger W. Hale, Director

/s/ Bernadine P. Healy

Bernadine P. Healy, Director

/s/ Mannie L. Jackson

Mannie L. Jackson, Director

/s/ Patrick F. Noonan

Patrick F. Noonan, Director

/s/ Jane C. Pfeiffer

Jane C. Pfeiffer, Director

/s/ William L. Rouse, Jr.

William L. Rouse, Jr., Director

/s/ George A. Schaefer, Jr.

George A. Schaefer, Jr., Director

/s/ Theodore M. Solso

Theodore M. Solso, Director

/s/ Michael J. Ward

Michael J. Ward, Director

CERTIFICATION

Statement Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Executive Officer Regarding Facts and Circumstances Relating to Exchange Act Filings.

I, James J. O'Brien, Chief Executive Officer of Ashland Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Ashland Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 1, 2003

/s/ James J. O'Brien

Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATION

Statement Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by Chief Financial Officer Regarding Facts and Circumstances Relating to Exchange Act Filings.

I, J. Marvin Quin, Chief Financial Officer of Ashland Inc., certify that:

1. I have reviewed this annual report on Form 10-K of Ashland Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13(a)-15(e) and 15(d)-15(e) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: December 1, 2003

/s/ J Marvin Quin

 Chief Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO
18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Ashland Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-K for the period ended September 30, 2003 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned, James J. O'Brien, Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and J. Marvin Quin, Chief Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, to the best of his knowledge, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies, in all material respects, with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company as of and for the periods presented in the report.

The foregoing certification is provided solely for purposes of complying with the provisions of Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and is not intended to be used or relied upon for any other purpose.

/s/ James J. O'Brien

James J. O'Brien
Chief Executive Officer
December 1, 2003

/s/ J. Marvin Quin

J. Marvin Quin
Chief Financial Officer
December 1, 2003

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Ashland Inc. and will be retained by Ashland Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or staff upon request.